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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes the findings of the Municipality of Marmora and Lake Asset Management Plan. The Plan applies to infrastructure assets related to: roads, sidewalks, bridges, water, wastewater, fleet and buildings.

The Plan follows the format set out in the recent Building Together: Guide for Municipal Asset Management Plans document released by the Ontario Ministry of Infrastructure.

## A. STATE OF THE LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE

- The Municipality's infrastructure has a replacement value of $\$ 156.8$ million. The largest component relates to roads, which is valued at $\$ 87.8$ million;
- Although the road network is extensive, the majority of roads, about $67 \%$ or $\$ 59.0$ million, are in good standing condition; and
- Overall, about $61 \%$ ( $\$ 96.0$ million) of assets analysed are rated in "good" standing condition. Although, a considerable portion of the total asset base, approximately $20 \%$ or $\$ 30.8$ million, is assessed as being in "poor" condition.
o The majority of assets rated in "poor" condition are related to linear infrastructure (roads, water, sewer) and bridges. The Municipality, through its annual capital budgeting process, have been addressing critical issues and assets in need for repair or replacement.
o Specifically related to water and sewer, the Municipality has identified 6 high priority capital projects. Council should be mindful of these capital works when making future capital repair and replacement decisions.


## B. DESIRED LEVELS OF SERVICE

- Current service levels in Marmora and Lake have been developed based on a combination of internal asset management practices, community expectations, statutory requirements, and industry operation and safety standards;
- The Municipality has in the past been responsive to infrastructure repair needs to address immediate environmental or health risks and to infrastructure needs for new development; and
- The Municipality measures level of engineering related services provided using a number of key performance indicators. The table below shows that by these measures, service levels have remained relatively constant in recent years.

| Key Indicators | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Roads in Fair or Good condition <br> where the average daily traffic demand is more <br> than 50 vehicles | - | - | - | - | $56 \%$ | $>60 \%$ |
| Percentage of Bridges and Culverts where the <br> conditions is rated as good | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $28 \%$ | $>28 \%$ |
| Percentage of winter events where the <br> response met or exceeded locally determined <br> municipal service levels for road maintenance | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Water loss analysis (water loss after treatment) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $<28 \%$ |
| Number of natural main breaks per year | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $<2$ |

Source: Based on MMAH FIR documents and Municipal data

## C. ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

- The 20-year repair and replacement program for tax supported services equals about $\$ 51.7$ million. Roads services are the largest component, representing $\$ 37.5$ million, or $72.5 \%$ of all repair and replacement costs through to 2032;
- Approximately $\$ 18.0$ million of Municipal assets are considered "overdue" for replacement. The most significant share of this infrastructure, about $\$ 10.5$ million or $58 \%$ relates to roads. Again, through annual capital budgeting processes the Municipality has been addressing critical issues and assets in need for repair and replacement.
- In the long-term, contributions to reserves for tax supported services would have to be in the order of $\$ 4.0$ million per year, mostly relating to roads infrastructure. This amount equates to annual capital expenditures of about $\$ 2.6$ million per year to 2032 . The $\$ 1.4$ million difference is related to provisions for infrastructure repair and replacement costs beyond 2032; and
- The Municipality has recently begun to make regular contributions to reserves for the repair and replacement of water and wastewater related infrastructure. The 2013 water and wastewater update analysis set utility rates so the Municipality contributes about $35 \%$ of the maximum required contribution to reserve for repair and replacement (about $\$ 379,000$ ) by 2019.


## D. FINANCING STRATEGY

- The current infrastructure deficit for tax and utility rate supported services is calculated to be about $\$ 45.9$ million. This represents the difference between the reserves the municipality would have if they followed a full cost recovery plan and the current total reserve amounts;
- It is unrealistic in the current fiscal context to expect the Municipality to fully address the infrastructure deficit in the short-term;
- Three financing strategies were developed to determine what capital expenditures would be required to meet asset replacement needs for both tax and rate supported assets;
- The level of capital related expenditures (to 2019) projected in the 2013 update utility rate analysis have been incorporated in the utility rate supported strategies;
- Under the first strategy, the Municipality would need to increase capital funding by about $10.2 \%$ per year for tax supported assets and $12 \%$ per year (post 2019) for utility rate supported assets. The infrastructure deficit would be $\$ 39.5$ million for tax supported assets and $\$ 10.7$ million for utility rate supported assets by 2032;
- Under the second strategy, the Municipality would need to increase capital funding by about $7.9 \%$ per year for tax supported assets and $7.9 \%$ per year (post 2019) for utility rate supported assets. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit would be $\$ 48.5$ million for tax supported assets and $\$ 13.9$ million for utility rate supported assets in 2032;
- Under the third strategy, capital expenditures are kept at current levels, increased funding only accounts for inflationary adjustments at a rate of 2\% per annum. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit would be $\$ 62.8$ million for tax supported assets and $\$ 16.9$ million for utility rate supported assets in 2032; and
- In addition, each financing strategy has been modified to include funding from other sources (likely federal or provincial grants) to support the repair and replacement of capital assets.


## E. KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Overall, the Municipality will need to continue to increase spending to address current and future infrastructure requirements in an effort to move forward with sustainable asset management planning:

## 1. Key Findings

- The Municipality's asset base is extensive, valued at $\$ 156.8$ million, in relation to the total population of about 4,100 persons. The responsibility to maintain existing infrastructure is challenging and the Municipality will need to continue to increase spending and transfers to reserve to address current and future infrastructure requirements;
- About $61 \%$ (or $\$ 96.0$ million) of Municipal assets are in good standing condition, however, approximately $20 \%$ or $\$ 30.8$ million, is assessed as being in "poor" condition;
- The Municipality, through its annual capital budgeting process, have been addressing critical issues and assets in need for repair or replacement;
- The Municipality has limited reserves available to fund both tax and utility rate supported capital projects; and
- The Municipality will continue to require funding from the federal and provincial government to undertake capital related works. In the immediate future, significant work is required to replace aged water and wastewater infrastructure, and without the assistance of upper-tier funding assistance, this work will result in significant upward pressure on the utility rates. Therefore, it is important the Municipality continue to seek financial assistance, where possible, from upper-tier government sources.


## 2. Continue to Improve Capital Development Planning Process

- The Municipality should adopt multi-year capital budgets and forecasts for all services based on a minimum 10 year forecast horizon;
- Capital budgets and forecasts should identify and evaluate each capital project in terms of the following, including but not limited to:
o gross and net project costs;
0 timing and phasing;
o funding sources;
o growth-related components;
o potential financing and debt servicing costs;
o long-term costs, including operations, maintenance, and asset rehabilitation costs;
o capacity to deliver; and
o alternative service delivery and procurement options.
- A range of quantifiable service level targets that incorporate the quantity and quality of capital assets should be established for all services. Targets should be measured, reported on, and adjusted annually;
- Specifically related to water and sewer infrastructure, this plan identifies six key capital works which should be prioritized for repair and replacement.
- Other repair and replacement capital works should be prioritized based on asset condition ratings with assets overdue for replacement and/or identified as "poor" recognized for immediate attention and remediation.
- Assets which have been provided a "fair" condition rating should be targeted for maintenance to ensure they continue to perform at the expected level; and
- The Municipality should, where possible coordinate the construction of new (growth-related) infrastructure with infrastructure repairs and replacement to achieve cost efficiencies.


## 3. Ensure Asset Inventories are Updated Regularly

- Sound asset management decisions are only possible if information in the asset registry is accurate. The Municipality should regularly update the registry to account for asset purchases, upgrades, and replacements, as well as asset condition ratings and information on useful life; and
- The Municipality should update this Asset Management Plan at a minimum every 5 years.


## 4. Optimize the Use of Existing Assets

- The Municipality should implement a range of engineering and nonengineering approaches to extend the useful life of current assets. A number of municipalities in Ontario have had success in this regard by, for example:
o implementing aggressive water conservation measures;
o undertaking relining programs, cathodic protection measures, CCTV inspections (or other condition assessment reviews), and inflow and infiltration remedial work on existing pipe infrastructure;
o deferring road resurfacing and improvement works to allow road service levels to decline to a level where repair is necessary; and
o substituting retrofitting and rehabilitation work for (more costly) full replacement of an asset.


## I INTRODUCTION

Well-managed public infrastructure is vital to the prosperity and quality of life of communities. Given the range and scope of services provided, Ontario's municipalities have a special responsibility in ensuring that infrastructure is planned, built, and maintained in a sustainable way. A detailed asset management plan is essential to carry out this responsibility.

Building on a recent Provincial funding commitment for municipal asset management, this Asset Management Plan is presented to the Council of the Municipality of Marmora and Lake. The Plan follows the format set out in the recent Building Together: Guide for Municipal Asset Management Plans document released by the Ontario Ministry of Infrastructure.

The Plan addresses all infrastructures for which the Municipality is responsible for, including roads, sidewalks, bridges, water, wastewater, fleet and building assets.

Asset management is not a new concept in the Municipality of Marmora and Lake. Council and staff have applied sound asset management processes to maintain records on tangible capital assets, monitor asset condition and performance, and plan for infrastructure acquisition, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement over the longterm.

The purpose of the Plan is to build on these existing practices by identifying how best to manage Municipal infrastructure over the period to 2032. A strategy for maintaining infrastructure so that desired service levels are achieved is an important element. In this respect, the Plan has been prepared with reference to the Municipality's Level of Service Policy and Minimum Maintenance Standards document. A financing strategy that integrates asset management with long-term financial planning is also included. Ultimately, the Plan will provide Council with information that can guide sustainable infrastructure investment decisions.

The Asset Management Plan is structured as follows:
Section II summarizes the state of the Municipality's infrastructure with reference to infrastructure quantity and quality.

Section III current service levels and service level targets are described.
Section IV sets out a strategy that will assist the Municipality in maintaining assets so that desired service levels are achieved.

Section V establishes how asset management can be delivered in a financially sustainable way.

Section VI sets out the key findings and recommendations based on the analysis undertaken as part of the Plan.

## II STATE OF LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE

The Municipality's capital asset inventory is documented in a municipal asset registry. The registry, which is updated regularly, contains information about the acquisition of assets, asset expansions and upgrades, and the condition of each asset. Each asset and asset class is categorized based on standard industry condition assessments such as "good", "fair" and "poor".

This section of the plan provides a summary of the state of Municipal assets with reference to infrastructure quantity and quality. Asset replacement costs, based on the estimated cost of replacing individual asset components (accounting for various attributes such as size, depth, and length of each component), are also provided. The detailed asset inventories are included in Appendix A.

The current (2013) replacement cost of all Municipal assets is estimated at $\$ 156.8$ million. Overall, many assets are in a state of good repair as about $61 \%$ ( $\$ 96.0$ million) have been assessed as "good". Although, a significant portion of the total asset base, approximately $20 \%$ or $\$ 30.8$ million, is assessed as being in "poor" condition. Although, the majority of assets rated in poor condition are related to linear infrastructure (roads, water, sewer) and bridges. The Municipality, through its annual capital budgeting process, have been addressing critical issues and assets in need for repair or replacement.


## A. ASSET INVENTORY, CONDITION, AND VALUE

The following section summarizes all Municipal assets and the cost, in 2013\$, of asset replacement. It also discusses the condition and useful life of assets and asset classes.

## 1. Road Infrastructure

The Transportation Services department is responsible for the construction and maintenance of all Municipal roads and sidewalks. The Municipality owns and maintains approximately 210 kilometers of roadway, of which about $43 \%$ is classified as Low Class Bituminous (surface treatment with flexible pavement). The total replacement value of the road network is estimated to be $\$ 87.8$ million. Table 2 summarizes the replacement value of the Municipal road network by road type.


The condition of the roads infrastructure has been rated based on the need for road improvement work. For consistency with other Municipal infrastructure condition ratings, a classification system of "good", "fair" and "poor" for all road infrastructures is used.

In total, the Municipality maintains $67 \%$ or $\$ 59.0$ million of the roads infrastructure in good condition. However, a significant portion, about $12 \%$ or $\$ 10.5$ million, of the Municipal road network is in poor condition. Table 3 summarizes the condition of the road infrastructure. It should be noted the condition analysis includes all municipal roads (both low and high traffic volume roads).


## 2. Bridges

The Transportation Services department is also responsible for bridge maintenance, repairs and replacement. The Municipality owns 28 bridges valued at approximately $\$ 22.7$ million. Much of this infrastructure is in a state of good repair, with about $77 \%$, or $\$ 17.6$ million, of the assets being rated in good or fair condition. Most notably, the McFaul Bridge is identified to be in poor condition and is set with the highest priority for replacement at a cost of $\$ 1.2$ million. Table 4 summarizes the conditions of the bridge infrastructure.


## 3. Water

The Municipality maintains about 16,000 meters of linear water infrastructure along with a number of hydrants, booster stations and treatment plants. The infrastructure has a total replacement value of about $\$ 14.2$ million. As shown in Table 5, the largest component of this infrastructure is the watermains, which account for about $44 \%$, or $\$ 6.2$ million, of the total replacement value of the water infrastructure.


Nearly half of the Municipality's water infrastructure is relatively old; about $\$ 6.9$ million, or $48 \%$, has a remaining useful life of less than 30 years. Of this, nearly all the infrastructure ( $\$ 6.8$ million) requires replacement in the next ten years. Table 6 summarizes the age and value of the water infrastructure.


For the purposes of this Plan, water asset conditions were determined based on the remaining useful life identified in the asset registry. Using this approach, about $52 \%$ or $\$ 7.4$ million of the water infrastructure is considered to be in good condition. Conversely, about $48 \%$ or $\$ 6.8$ million is rated as being in poor condition. Table 7 summarizes the condition assessments.


## 4. Wastewater

The Municipality maintains nearly 10,000 meters of linear wastewater mains in the Marmora and Deloro service areas in addition to a pump station, two treatment facilities, a storm water management pond and several catch basins. As illustrated in Table 8, the infrastructure has a total replacement value of about $\$ 12.3$ million. Unlike the water system, the largest component is wastewater treatment infrastructure, which accounts for $\$ 6.2$ million, or about $51 \%$ of the total replacement value of wastewater infrastructure. Wastewater mains account for about $42 \%$ or $\$ 5.2$ million of the total replacement value of the wastewater infrastructure.


As with the water infrastructure, about half the wastewater infrastructure is relatively old and in need of replacement; as shown in Table 9, about $\$ 6.5$ million (53\%) have a remaining useful life of less than 30 years. Of this, about $14 \%$ ( $\$ 1.7$ million) of the assets require replacement in the next ten years.


The condition of wastewater assets is also based on the remaining useful life as recorded in the asset registry. Under this approach, about $47 \%$ or $\$ 5.8$ million, of the infrastructure is considered to be in good condition. Conversely, about $53 \%$ or $\$ 6.5$ million of wastewater assets is identified in poor condition. Table 10 summarizes the results of the condition analysis.


## 5. Buildings

The Municipality is responsible for the maintenance and repair of several facilities, including recreation centres, libraries, park pavilions and the administration centre. The total replacement value of these buildings is estimated to be about $\$ 15.4$ million.

As indicated in Table 11, about 79\% ( $\$ 12.3$ million) of these buildings are considered to be in good condition. Only about $5 \%(\$ 735,000)$ are rated as being in poor condition with the Roads village garage/OPP building, valued at $\$ 420,000$, being the most significant building in this category.


## 6. Sidewalks

The Municipality transportation services department is responsible for maintaining over 10,000 linear meters of sidewalk. This infrastructure is valued at approximately $\$ 1.3$ million. As illustrated in Table 12, municipal sidewalk infrastructure is new with all assets having a useful life greater than 20 years. To that end, all sidewalk infrastructures are considered to be in good standing condition.


## 7. Fleet

The Municipality has an extensive inventory of vehicles, machinery and equipment valued at approximately $\$ 3.0$ million, which are primarily used by staff in the Transportation Services department. The majority of municipal fleet require frequent replacement as the average life of these assets is about fifteen years. As shown in Table 13, about 44\% ( $\$ 1.3$ million) of the municipal fleet require immediate repair or replacement within the next ten years.


A significant portion of the municipal fleet, representing about $\$ 1.9$ million, or $63 \%$, is categorized to be in good or fair condition. Although, about $\$ 1.1$ million or $37 \%$ of the assets have been assigned a poor condition rating.

## III DESIRED LEVELS OF SERVICE

Asset management decisions must be made with reference to the level of service planned for by the Municipality. Current service levels in Marmora and Lake have been developed based on a combination of internal asset management practices, community expectations, statutory requirements, and industry operation and safety standards. Typically, the level of infrastructure investment made by the Municipality in any one year has been determined by funding availability. That said, the Municipality has in the past been responsive to infrastructure repair needs to address immediate environmental or health risks and to infrastructure needs for new development.

In our experience, the community expects that services be delivered in a cost effective and efficient way. Generally, community expectations revolve around the Municipality's ability to provide core services, such as: the delivery of potable drinking water; well maintained roadways; and the proximity and accessibility of "soft" services (e.g. recreation facilities; libraries; fire stations) within neighbourhoods.

The Municipality measures level of engineering related services provided using a number of key performance indicators. The table below shows that by these measures, service levels have remained relatively constant in recent years.

| Key Indicators | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Roads in Fair or Good condition <br> where the average daily traffic demand is more <br> than 50 vehicles | - | - | - | - | $56 \%$ | $>60 \%$ |
| Percentage of Bridges and Culverts where the <br> conditions is rated as good | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{> 2 8 \%}$ |
| Percentage of winter events where the <br> response met or exceeded locally determined <br> municipal service levels for road maintenance | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Water loss analysis (water loss after treatment) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $<28 \%$ |
| Number of natural main breaks per year | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $<2$ |

Source: Based on MMAH FIR documents and Municipal data

The Table also shows target service levels which have been provided by Municipal staff:

- For Bridges, the Municipality maintains a portion of its bridges and culverts in good condition. It is staff's intentions to improve the condition of bridges and to ensure they continue to meet provincial safety standards and regulations.
- For roads, the municipality should target to maintain a minimum of $60 \%$ of roads with an average daily traffic demand in excess of 50 vehicles per day in good or fair condition. This would result in a maximum of $40 \%$ of their high volume road network being in poor condition, requiring immediate improvement work.
- Historically, the Municipality has experienced few instances where water or wastewater asset failure resulted in a reduced level of service. The Municipality will continue to prioritize the repair and replacement of water and wastewater main breaks when they occur.
- The Municipality has recently begun to track the delivery of potable water from treatment plants to community households and businesses. The loss of water in the distribution network has been about $30 \%$ of plant output in the last two years. This is a common rate of loss in the context of smaller Ontario municipalities. Although, staff are working towards reducing the amount of water loss in the distribution network each year.

As part of this Plan, the Municipality will continue to monitor and adjust current service level measures to meet legislative and community needs. The Municipality will also through master plans, official plans, community consultation and other studies develop service levels for the full range of municipal capital assets.

## IV ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

This section sets out an action plan that will assist the Municipality in maintaining assets so that desired service levels are achieved. An assessment of the lifecycle and criticality of assets is made. Future annual contributions to reserves for asset management purposes are also determined.

## A. ASSET LIFECYCLE COST ANALYSIS

An understanding of the full lifecycle cost of assets is critical to determining the most cost-effective approach to asset management. In this section, a forecast of annual infrastructure replacement and rehabilitation needs is determined based on current (2013) replacement values and the useful life assigned to each asset and asset class.

## 1. Overdue Assets

As of 2013, the Municipality had approximately $\$ 18.0$ million in assets "overdue" for replacement. As outlined in Table 14, the most significant share of this infrastructure ( $\$ 10.5$ million or $58 \%$ ) relates to roads. An additional 35\% (\$6.3 million) of overdue infrastructure relates to bridges. It should be noted that overdue road and bridge assets were determined based on the immediate requirement for work to be undertaken as opposed to the useful life of the asset. The overdue assets are identified in asset inventories found in Appendix A.


## 2. Repair and Replacement Program

Tables 15 and 16 set out the schedule of repair and replacement of assets required to meet service level targets for property tax supported as well as utility (i.e. water and wastewater) rate supported services. As shown in Table 15, roads services are the largest component of the tax supported repair and replacement program, representing $\$ 37.5$ million ( $72.5 \%$ ), of all repair and replacement costs ( $\$ 51.7$ million) through to 2032.

In 2013, significant expenditures have been identified that would require considerable road and bridge improvement works to be carried out. An analysis was undertaken which prioritized road improvement works based on traffic volumes and condition assessments for each asset. It should be noted that road improvement works are assumed to take place as a means of extending the useful life of roads in lieu of complete replacement. These expenditures can be mitigated through regular condition reassessments and by smoothing out road improvement works over a number of years.

In addition, given that retrofits and renovations can often extend the useful life of buildings in lieu of a complete replacement (shown in Table 15); the analysis assumes that costs to maintain buildings would be $50 \%$ of building replacement construction costs.


As identified in Section II, Municipal water and wastewater infrastructure is relatively old. As a result, a significant share of this infrastructure, mainly related to
linear assets, is due for replacement based on the estimated engineering design life of the assets. Table 16 demonstrates that a considerable share of water and wastewater repair and replacement costs is identified in 2013 and 2023 to cope with overdue infrastructure. Overall, wastewater services represent about $53 \%$ of the total $\$ 14.5$ million replacement costs through to 2032. Again, these expenditures can be mitigated through regular condition reassessments and by smoothing out repair and replacement works over a number of years. It should be noted that the schedule of replacement activities shown below is based solely on the estimated useful life of each asset and the actual condition of each asset differs, therefore, assets may continue to meet desired service levels beyond the engineered design standard, or require repair or replacement earlier than designed. Section C identifies capital projects which should be prioritized for repair/replacement, as identified by Municipal staff.


## B. CALCULATION OF ANNUAL RESERVE CONTRIBUTIONS

A key component of the asset management strategy is to identify the level of expenditure required on an annual basis to pay for asset management. Costs to maintain and eventually replace newly acquired assets need to be understood. Contributions to reserves and reserve funds need to be quantified. In this section, provisions for infrastructure repair and replacement are calculated for each asset based on its remaining useful life and the anticipated cost of repair/replacement, in
the scheduled year of repair/replacement. The aggregate of all individual provisions form an annual contribution to reserve for the purpose of asset repair and replacement.

Tables 17 and 18 below show the funds that would have to be contributed annually to reserves to meet service level targets for tax and utility rate supported services to 2032.

Table 17 demonstrates that:

- Although the Municipality has regularly contributed to property tax supported reserves, a higher level of reserve contributions is required over the long term in order to meet service level requirements.
- Higher contributions would be required in the short-term to pay for significant road and bridge expenditures identified in 2013. However, there will likely be measures the Municipality could take to mitigate this financial pressure in 2013. These measures are more fully discussed in Section V.
- Average annual contributions over the 20 -year period would have to be in the order of $\$ 4.0$ million per year, mostly relating to roads infrastructure.
- The Municipality would have to spend an average of $\$ 2.6$ million per year to 2032 to maintain tax supported assets, the additional $\$ 1.4$ million per year is to pay for infrastructure repair and replacement costs beyond 2032. This level of expenditure is approximately 5 times, or $\$ 3.3$ million, higher than the $\$ 680,000$ spent from the tax levy by the Municipality in 2013 on asset repair and replacement.


Table 18 shows the annual utility rate supported reserve contribution requirements to 2032. The Municipality has recently started to make regular contributions to reserves for the ultimate repair and replacement of water and wastewater related infrastructure. In 2011, the Municipality undertook a water and wastewater rates study that established utility rates to 2019. The study calculated utility rates in an effort to move the Municipality towards a full cost recovery system while promoting customer control and water conservation measures. In 2013, an update analysis was undertaken to reflect changes to the pattern of water consumption in the Municipality and revised operating and capital costs. This analysis set utility rates so the Municipality contributes about $35 \%$ of the maximum required contribution to reserve for repair and replacement (about $\$ 379,000$ ) by 2019. The analysis in Table 18 shows that higher contributions would be required in the short-medium term to pay for water and wastewater expenditures in the 2013 to 2023 period. That said, there will likely be measures the Municipality could take to mitigate this immediate financial pressure. These measures are discussed in Section V.


## C. HIGH PRIORITY WATER AND WASTEWATER CAPITAL WORKS

Although some of the Municipality's water and wastewater infrastructure is not due to be replaced for some time by virtue of the assets engineered design life, the condition of some assets are considered to be poor and no longer perform to the proper standards to meet current levels of service. In consultation with Municipal staff, the following table outlines 6 key projects which have been identified as a high priority and require immediate attention.

| Priority \# | Project Description | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sanitary Sewer Forcemain | \$1,000,000 |
| 2. | Water/Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation: <br> New Water/Sewer on Crawford Drive | \$900,000 |
| 3. | Water Tower/Standpipe | \$1,500,000 |
| 4. | Watermain Rehabilitation: <br> New Watermain from Norwood Road South to Roscoe Street | \$800,000 |
| 5. | Watermain Rehabilitation: <br> New Watermain and Sewermain Extension: North Hastings to Ultramar | \$800,000 |
| 6. | Cathbasins - Installed from 1975-1982 | \$190,000 |
| Total |  | \$5,190,000 |

The level of capital repair and replacement works required would necessitate the Municipality to seek funding from a variety of sources, in addition to utility rate based revenues, to fund all of part these works. With a user base of approximately 800 billable units, the priority works would result in each billable unit contributing about $\$ 6,500$ in addition to the current utility rates in force, which are used to fund
largely the day-to-day water and sewer operations (incl. debt repayment) and minor capital repair works. The Municipality's utility rates will continue to be increased, which progressively over-time, moves the Municipality towards full cost recovery. However, in the short-medium term, the Municipality should look to secure grant funding to offset the capital costs of completing the noted projects.

The Municipality has always used internal control measures to prioritize capital related repair and replacement activities to align with available funds/resources to meet current levels of service. The Municipality will continue to utilize such measures to ensure capital works are carried out in a fiscally responsible manner. It is in this regard the Municipality has identified the need to complete the sanitary sewer forcemain project and the water/sewermain rehabilitation works on Crawford drive immediately, while the remaining high priority projects will be considered for completion in subsequent years beyond 2016. The Municipality's ability to undertake these projects is largely dependent on securing upper-level government grant funding, and therefore, the Municipality should exercise all available grant funding opportunities while continuing to move towards full cost recovery utility rates.

The financing strategies outlined in the following section detail the Municipality's commitment to increase capital contributions over time to progressively move towards self sustaining water and wastewater systems. It should be noted; annual capital budgeting exercises may reprioritize the capital works identified and/or alter from the assumed increase to capital contributions to balance affordable utility and tax rates.

## V FINANCING STRATEGY

This section of the Plan is intended to provide a framework for the Municipality to integrate asset management with annual budgeting and long-term financial planning.

The Municipality has traditionally followed a "pay-as-you-go" approach to financing infrastructure, whereby capital expenditures are prioritized and approved with reference to the availability of funds. That said, the Municipality has historically set aside some funds in reserves and reserve funds in an effort to maintain its capital assets. Additionally, the Municipality has often relied on funding assistance from higher orders of government to undertake necessary capital works.

## A. AVAILABLE FUNDING TOOLS

The following section discusses, at a high level, the range of tools available to the Municipality for funding capital expenditures.

## Federal and Provincial Grants

Historically, the Municipality has had success in securing grant funding from higher orders of government to assist in funding capital projects. Most recently, the Municipality has secured approximately $\$ 1.0$ million to replace the Deloro septic system. Additionally, the Municipality has also applied for grant funding to carry out other high priority capital projects identified in this plan. The Municipality will continue to seek financial assistance from upper levels of government to fund capital works.

The Municipality of Marmora and Lake has indicated that it expects to continue receiving Gas Tax grant revenue. These funds can be applied to fund future capital replacement costs.

## Development Charges

Development charges may be imposed to pay for increased capital costs required because of increased needs for services arising from development.

The Municipality does not utilize development charges as a tool for funding growth-related infrastructure.

## Utility Rates

Following the 2011 Water and Wastewater Rate Study and subsequent update analysis in 2013, the Municipality implemented increases to utility rates in a move towards full cost recovery. The study documented the required utility rate increases for both the fixed and volumetric fees to support the short-medium term capital requirements. The study calculated rate increases, which progressively over-time, moves the Municipality towards full cost recovery. The results of this analysis are issued under separate cover.

## Property Taxes

Property taxes represent approximately 50\% of revenues in the 2013 tax supported budget. The use of property taxes to fund municipal services is the most secure source of funding for the Municipality. As such, the Municipality may be required to increase property tax revenue to fund additional capital expenditures.

## User Fees

User fees are the second largest funding source of revenues for the Municipality at $27 \%$ of total revenue. To the extent that user fees are being collected to fund repair and replacement of capital infrastructure, a portion of user fees should be allocated to capital reserves.

## B. FINANCING AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

This section discusses, at a high level, the means by which capital revenue can be raised or secured.

## Debt (as a financing tool)

Debt financing is a viable tool available to fund infrastructure projects. Planned debt is a responsible way to spread the costs of a project over the life of an asset to ensure the ratepayers who benefit from the asset share the cost. Therefore, the burden of capital is distributed equally between the current taxpayer and future rate payers. The Municipality has often exercised the ability to fund capital works through the issuance of debt.

The amount of debt a municipality can carry is set by provincial regulations to ensure municipalities continue to operate in a fiscally sound environment. The Municipality's total allowable annual debt costs equates to about $\$ 1.0$ million. Marmora and Lake currently operates below the debt threshold with $\$ 248,000$ in annual debt payments (principal and interest combined) as of January $1^{\text {st }} 2013$.

As a safe practice, any potential debt not be financed for a period longer than the average useful life of the asset. This will ensure the Municipality is not paying for an asset outside the design life beyond the assets expected use.

## Reserves and Reserve Funds

Reserves are to be used to cope with high capital investment periods by saving during low capital investment periods. This practice will smooth annual expenditures and ensure the municipality can complete the required annual capital works. In addition to contributions during low investment periods many municipalities use annual surpluses, should one arise, to increase reserves. There is no prescribed amount of reserves for a municipality to have at any given time, but they should be sufficient to cover the difference between the annual contribution and the capital program.

As of January $1^{\text {st }} 2013$, the Municipality had a balance of $\$ 616,000$ of tax supported capital reserves and $\$ 37,000$ of utility rate supported capital reserves; these balances have been considered in the calculation of the infrastructure deficit in Part C of this section.

## C. CURRENT INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT AND FUTURE FUNDING GAPS

To implement sustainable asset management practices the Municipality needs to have an understanding of the current "infrastructure deficit" as well as the funding gaps that would arise should the required annual contributions to reserves identified in Section IV be delayed.

The current infrastructure deficit shown below represents the difference between the reserves the municipality would have if they followed a full cost recovery plan and the current total reserve amounts. If the municipality was following a full cost recovery plan to perform the work set out in the asset repair and replacement schedule identified in Section IV, they would have $\$ 45.9$ million in reserves.

| Current Reserve Requirement - Tax S upported |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Buildings | $\$ 3,117,174$ |
| Sidewalks | $\$ 428,575$ |
| Fleet | $\$ 1,440,112$ |
| Bridges | $\$ 5,731,430$ |
| Roads | $\$ 21,701,452$ |
|  | $\$ 32,418,743$ |
|  | $\$ 615,901$ |
| Current Res erves | $\$ 31,802,842$ |


| Current Reserve Requirement - Utility Rate S upportt |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Water | $\$ 8,050,554$ |
| Was tewater | $\$ 6,101,172$ |
|  | $\$ 14,151,725$ |
| Current Res erves | $\$ 37,257$ |
| Current Infrastructure Deficit | $\$ 14,114,468$ |
| Total Current Infras tructure Deficit | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 , 9 1 7 , 3 1 0}$ |

## Financing Strategy

It is unrealistic in the current fiscal context to expect the Municipality to address the above $\$ 45.9$ million infrastructure deficit in the short-term. Accordingly, a longterm funding strategy that identifies options for addressing current and future asset expenditure requirements, including the recalculated infrastructure deficit, by 2032 is provided in this section. This analysis recognizes that the Municipality has not
kept pace with the required contributions to perform the work set out in the calculated asset repair and replacement schedule in Section IV.

Three financing strategies were developed to determine what capital expenditures would be required to meet asset repair and replacement needs for both tax and utility rate supported assets. It should be noted that the level of capital related expenditures (to 2019) adopted in the 2013 update utility rate analysis have been incorporated in the rate supported strategies. The financing strategies described below illustrate the "smoothed options" to the capital repair and replacement requirements identified in Section IV of this plan. The growth assumptions for each strategy are:

| Financing Strategies | Tax Supported | Utility Rate Supported |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 1 | Increase annual capital <br> funding by $10.2 \%$ per annum <br> so the annual provision | Increase capital funding by <br> requirement is met in 15 <br> years. The annual funding gap <br> is closed by 2028. |
| Strategy 2 | Increase annual capital <br> funding by 7.9\% so the <br> annual provision requirement <br> is met in 20 years. The annual <br> funding gap is closed by <br> 2032. | Increase capital funding by <br> $7.9 \%$ per annum post 2019. |
| Strategy 3 | Capital expenditures are kept <br> at current levels; increased <br> funding only accounts for <br> inflationary adjustments at a <br> rate of 2\% per annum. | Capital expenditures are kept <br> at current levels; increased <br> funding only accounts for <br> inflationary adjustments at a <br> rate of 2\% per annum post <br> 2019. |

In addition, each strategy shown above has been modified to include funding from other sources (likely federal or provincial grants) to support the repair and replacement of capital assets.

## 1. Tax Supported Assets

## a) Analysis of Strategy 1

Given the capital expenditure requirement to meet the asset replacement needs, the accumulated infrastructure deficit will reach $\$ 42.8$ million before the Municipality begins to lower this amount by increasing capital funding by more than the annual provision requirement for tax supported assets. Table 20 below highlights the fact that the infrastructure deficit will increase by the annual funding gap and decrease once the annual contributions are greater than the annual provision. By 2032, the infrastructure deficit will be reduced to $\$ 39.5$ million. This scenario represents an increase in capital funding from the current level of $\$ 680,000$ by $10.2 \%$ annually. In 2014, this translates into a $2.6 \%$ increase to the property tax rate in order fund the additional capital expenditures.

Table 20 - Projected Annual Funding Gap under Strategy One For Tax Supported Assets

|  | Projected Annual Capital Provision | ```Capital Funding from Tax Levy``` | \%Annual Increase in Capital Funding | Other S ources of Funding | Total Capital Funding | Annual Funding Gap | Cumulative Infrastructur e Deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | \$18,279,792 | \$680,000 |  | \$380,000 | \$1,060,000 | \$17,219,792 | \$17,219,792 |
| 2014 | \$3,531,213 | \$749,421 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$749,421 | \$2,781,792 | \$20,001,584 |
| 2015 | \$3,531,213 | \$825,929 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$825,929 | \$2,705,284 | \$22,706,868 |
| 2016 | \$3,528,498 | \$910,248 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$910,248 | \$2,618,250 | \$25,325,118 |
| 2017 | \$3,528,498 | \$1,003,175 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,003,175 | \$2,525,323 | \$27,850,441 |
| 2018 | \$3,266,180 | \$1,105,589 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,105,589 | \$2,160,591 | \$30,011,032 |
| 2019 | \$3,430,836 | \$1,218,458 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,218,458 | \$2,212,378 | \$32,223,411 |
| 2020 | \$3,429,976 | \$1,342,850 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,342,850 | \$2,087,126 | \$34,310,536 |
| 2021 | \$3,428,965 | \$1,479,941 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,479,941 | \$1,949,023 | \$36,259,560 |
| 2022 | \$3,427,876 | \$1,631,028 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,631,028 | \$1,796,848 | \$38,056,408 |
| 2023 | \$3,237,613 | \$1,797,539 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,797,539 | \$1,440,074 | \$39,496,482 |
| 2024 | \$3,135,830 | \$1,981,049 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$1,981,049 | \$1,154,781 | \$40,651,263 |
| 2025 | \$3,133,878 | \$2,183,294 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$2,183,294 | \$950,584 | \$41,601,847 |
| 2026 | \$3,125,359 | \$2,406,186 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$2,406,186 | \$719,174 | \$42,321,021 |
| 2027 | \$3,136,815 | \$2,651,833 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$2,651,833 | \$484,983 | \$42,806,003 |
| 2028 | \$2,922,557 | \$2,922,557 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$2,922,557 | \$0 | \$42,806,003 |
| 2029 | \$2,937,507 | \$3,220,920 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$3,220,920 | -\$283,414 | \$42,522,590 |
| 2030 | \$2,905,004 | \$3,549,743 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$3,549,743 | -\$644,739 | \$41,877,851 |
| 2031 | \$2,905,264 | \$3,912,135 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$3,912,135 | -\$1,006,871 | \$40,870,980 |
| 2032 | \$2,900,936 | \$4,311,524 | 10.2\% | \$0 | \$4,311,524 | -\$1,410,588 | \$39,460,392 |
| Total Infras tructure Deficit |  |  |  |  |  | \$39,460,392 |  |

## Other Sources of Funding

The strategy 1 analysis has been modified to assume the Municipality continues to receive other sources of funding (likely federal or provincial grants) at the current level throughout the planning period. Therefore, government assistance funding of $\$ 380,000$ is maintained through to 2032 to fund the repair and replacement of capital assets. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit is reduced to \$32.2 million by 2032 from the $\$ 39.5$ million identified without federal or provincial funding assistance. Table 21 below compares the infrastructure deficit for the Strategy 1 analysis.


## b) Alternative Financing Strategy

As shown in Table 22, two additional financing strategies were developed to identify when the Municipality would reach full cost recovery. It should be noted that when the annual funding gap is equal to or below zero the municipality is achieving full cost recovery as they will be contributing the required annual provision.

The strategy 2 analysis indicates that if the Municipality were to increase capital funding on average by $7.9 \%$ each year, full cost recovery would be reached in 2032, however, the infrastructure deficit will have increased to $\$ 48.5$ million. The third strategy assumes capital expenditures are kept at current levels; increased funding only accounts for inflationary adjustments at a rate of $2 \%$ per annum. This analysis indicates the Municipality would not reach full cost recovery by 2032 and the infrastructure deficit will have increased to $\$ 62.8$ million. Table 23 compares the infrastructure deficit for each financing strategy.



## Other Sources of Funding

The strategy 2 and 3 analysis has also been modified to assume the Municipality continues to receive other sources of funding (likely federal or provincial grants) of about $\$ 380,000$ per annum throughout the planning period to fund the repair and replacement of capital assets. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit is reduced to $\$ 41.2$ million and $\$ 55.6$ million respectively by 2032.

## 2. Utility Rate supported assets

This section discusses the three financing strategies to determine what capital expenditures would be required to meet asset replacement needs for rate supported assets.

## a) Analysis of Strategy 1

Given the capital expenditure requirement to meet the asset replacement needs, the accumulated infrastructure deficit will reach $\$ 14.4$ million before the Municipality begins to lower this amount by increasing capital funding more than the annual provision requirement for utility rate supported assets. Table 24 below highlights the fact that the infrastructure deficit will increase by the annual funding gap and decrease once the annual contributions are greater than the annual provision. By 2032, the infrastructure deficit will be reduced to $\$ 10.7$ million. This scenario represents capital funding projections to 2019 consistent with the 2013 update rate analysis. Thereafter, capital spending increases in the order of $12.0 \%$ per annum from 2020 forward is calculated.

Table 24 - Projected Annual Funding Gap under Strategy One For Utility Rate Supported Assets


## Other Sources of Funding

The strategy 1 analysis has been modified to assume the Municipality continues to receive other sources of funding (likely federal or provincial grants) of approximately $\$ 250,000$ per annum through to 2032 to fund the repair and replacement of capital assets. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit is reduced to $\$ 6.0$ million by 2032 from the $\$ 10.7$ million identified without federal or provincial grant assistance. Table 25 below compares the infrastructure deficit for the Strategy 1 analysis.


## b) Alternative Financing Strategy

Table 26 illustrates two additional strategies to identify when the Municipality would reach full cost recovery. The strategy 2 analyses indicates that if the Municipality were to increase capital funding on average by $7.9 \%$ each year post 2019, full cost recovery would be reached in 2027, and the infrastructure deficit will have increased to $\$ 13.9$ million. The third strategy assumes capital expenditures are kept at current levels; increased funding only accounts for inflationary adjustments at a rate of $2 \%$ per annum (post 2019). This analysis indicates the Municipality would not reach full cost recovery by 2032 and the infrastructure deficit will have increased to $\$ 16.9$ million. Table 27 compares the infrastructure deficit for each financing strategy.



## Other Sources of Funding

The strategy 2 and 3 analysis has also been modified to assume the Municipality continues to receive other sources of funding in the amount of approximately $\$ 250,000$ per annum, through to 2032 , to fund the repair and replacement of capital assets. Under this approach, the infrastructure deficit is reduced to $\$ 9.2$ million and $\$ 12.2$ million respectively by 2032.

## VI CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this Asset Management Plan is to provide the Municipality of Marmora and Lake with the information it needs to make decisions on how best to manage capital assets in a sustainable way to 2032. In this section, recommendations based on the analysis undertaken as part of the Plan are made.

## A. SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

Overall, the Municipality will need to continue to increase spending to address current and future infrastructure requirements in an effort to move forward with sustainable asset management planning:

- The Municipality's asset base is extensive, valued at $\$ 156.8$ million, in relation to the total population of about 4,100 persons. The responsibility to maintain existing infrastructure is challenging and the Municipality will need to continue to increase spending to address current and future infrastructure requirements;
- About $61 \%$ (or $\$ 96.0$ million) of Municipal assets are in good standing condition, however, approximately $20 \%$ or $\$ 31.0$ million, is assessed as being in "poor" condition;
- The Municipality, through its annual capital budgeting process, has been addressing critical issues and assets in need for repair or replacement;
- The Municipality has limited reserves available to fund both tax and utility rate supported capital projects; and
- The Municipality will continue to require funding from the federal and provincial government to undertake capital related works. In the immediate future, significant work is required to replace aged water and wastewater infrastructure, and without the assistance of upper-tier funding assistance, this work will result in significant upward pressure on the utility rates. Therefore, it is important the Municipality continue to seek financial assistance, where possible, from upper-tier government sources.


## B. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the research and analysis undertaken for this Plan the following conclusions can be reached:

## 1. Continue to Improve Capital Development Planning Process

- The Municipality should adopt multi-year capital budgets and forecasts for all services based on a minimum 10 year forecast horizon.
- Capital budgets and forecasts should identify and evaluate each capital project in terms of the following, including but not limited to:
o gross and net project costs;
0 timing and phasing;
o funding sources;
o growth-related components;
o potential financing and debt servicing costs;
o long-term costs, including operations, maintenance, and asset rehabilitation costs;
o capacity to deliver; and
o alternative service delivery and procurement options.
- A range of quantifiable service level targets that incorporate the quantity and quality of capital assets should be established for all services. Targets should be measured, reported on, and adjusted annually.
- Specifically related to water and sewer infrastructure, this plan identifies six key capital works which should be prioritized for repair and replacement.
- Other repair and replacement capital works should be prioritized based on asset condition ratings with assets overdue for replacement and/or identified as "poor" recognized for immediate attention.
- Assets which have been provided a "fair" condition rating should be targeted for maintenance to ensure they continue to perform at the expected level.
- The Municipality should, where possible coordinate the construction of new (growth-related) infrastructure with infrastructure repairs and replacement to achieve cost efficiencies.


## 2. Ensure Asset Inventories are Updated Regularly

- Sound asset management decisions are only possible if information in the asset registry is accurate. The Municipality should regularly update the registry to account for asset purchases, upgrades, and replacements, as well as asset condition ratings and information on useful life.
- The Municipality should update this Asset Management Plan at a minimum every 5 years.


## 3. Optimize the Use of Existing Assets

- The Municipality should implement a range of engineering and nonengineering approaches to extend the useful life of current assets. A number of municipalities in Ontario have had success in this regard by, for example:
o implementing aggressive water conservation measures;
o undertaking relining programs, cathodic protection measures, CCTV inspections (or other condition assessment reviews), and inflow and infiltration remedial work on existing pipe infrastructure;
o deferring road resurfacing and improvement works to allow road service levels to decline to a level where repair is necessary; and
o substituting retrofitting and rehabilitation work for (more costly) full replacement of an asset.


## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX A

## DETAILED ASSET LIST

Appendix A
Municipality of Marmora and Lake
Capital Asset Inventory and Replacement Schedule

| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deloro Road | Deloro Dam Road | Lowry Road | 1-057 | 2.70 | Poor | 45.6 | LCB | \$1,080,000 | \$1,080,000 | 2013 | \$2,453,883 |
| Deloro Road | Lowry Road | Malone South Limits (2 | 1-058 | 2.10 | Poor | 42.2 | LCB | \$840,000 | \$840,000 | 2013 | \$1,908,575 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Cordova Road | Gulf Road | 1-003 | 1.50 | Poor | 42.0 | LCB | \$600,000 | \$600,000 | 2013 | \$737,274 |
| Deloro Road | Malone South Limits | Malone Quarry Road | 1-059 | 0.50 | Poor | 41.2 | LCB | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | 2013 | \$454,423 |
| Deloro Road | Malone Road | Centre Line Road | 1-061 | 2.30 | Poor | 37.8 | LCB | \$920,000 | \$920,000 | 2013 | \$2,090,344 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Gulf Road | Quinn Road | 1-004 | 1.50 | Poor | 37.6 | LCB | \$600,000 | \$600,000 | 2013 | \$737,274 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | End of Maintained Roa | Buttermilk Lane | 1-153 | 0.85 | Poor | 35.4 | Gravel | \$255,000 | \$255,000 | 2013 | \$125,499 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | McGregor Lane | Twin Shore Lane | 1-154 | 0.90 | Poor | 35.3 | Gravel | \$270,000 | \$270,000 | 2013 | \$132,881 |
| Deloro Road | Malone Quarry Road | Malone Road | 1-060 | 0.20 | Poor | 35.2 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$80,000 | 2013 | \$181,769 |
| Marble Point Road | Cove Road | Sauna Road | 1-097 | 0.70 | Poor | 33.7 | LCB | \$280,000 | \$280,000 | 2013 | \$170,967 |
| Marble Point Road | Tipperary Road | Cove Road | 1-096 | 0.45 | Poor | 32.9 | LCB | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | 2013 | \$109,907 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Quinn Road | Centre Line Road | 1-005 | 0.75 | Poor | 32.6 | LCB | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | 2013 | \$368,637 |
| Cook Road | Peepy Horn Road | Private Road | 1-036 | 1.00 | Poor | 27.5 | Gravel | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | 2013 | \$147,646 |
| Station Road | Murphy Road | Deloro Road | 1-140 | 1.30 | Poor | 26.9 | LCB | \$520,000 | \$520,000 | 2013 | \$242,631 |
| Bontervale Road | Marble Point Road | Wells Lane | 1-009 | 0.40 | Poor | 26.7 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | 2013 | \$59,058 |
| Lakeshore Road | Booster Park Road | Dead End | 1-086 | 0.65 | Poor | 26.5 | LCB | \$260,000 | \$260,000 | 2013 | \$95,970 |
| Silver Maple Lane | 0.1 km East of Cordova | Dead End | 1-136 | 0.80 | Poor | 26.2 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$240,000 | 2013 | \$118,117 |
| Peepy Horn Road | Cook Road | Dead End | 1-117 | 0.75 | Poor | 25.9 | Gravel | \$225,000 | \$225,000 | 2013 | \$110,734 |
| Silver Maple Lane | Cordova Road | 0.1 km East of Cordova | 1-135 | 0.10 | Poor | 25.6 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$40,000 | 2013 | \$14,765 |
| Station Road | Bailey Road | Murphy Road | 1-139 | 1.00 | Poor | 25.4 | LCB | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | 2013 | \$186,639 |
| Old Marmora Road | KOA Campground Roa | Stirling-Rawdon Bound | 1-113 | 6.00 | Poor | 24.9 | LCB | \$2,400,000 | \$2,400,000 | 2013 | \$1,119,834 |
| Booster Park Road | Highway 7 | Eady Lane | 1-011 | 0.15 | Poor | 19.2 | LCB | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | 2013 | \$12,991 |
| Booster Park Road | Eady Lane | High Shore Road | 1-012 | 0.70 | Poor | 19.2 | LCB | \$280,000 | \$280,000 | 2013 | \$60,625 |
| Booster Park Road | High Shore Road | Lakeshore Road | 1-013 | 0.10 | Poor | 19.2 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$40,000 | 2013 | \$8,661 |
| Forsyth Street | 0.1 km South of Nash St | Nash Street | 2-014 | 0.10 | Fair | 39.1 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$171,741 |
| Cordova Road | 1.7 km North of Clemen | Vansicle Road/Haveloc | 1-049 | 0.30 | Fair | 35.5 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$83,634 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | Twin Shore Lane | Gemm Lane | 1-155 | 0.20 | Fair | 32.5 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$39,584 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | Gemm Lane | Buttermilk Lane | 1-156 | 0.30 | Fair | 32.5 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$59,377 |
| Fidlar Glen Road | Fidlar Court | Cul-du-sac | 1-067 | 0.50 | Fair | 32.1 | Gravel | \$150,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$98,961 |
| Centre Line Road | Glen Ridge Road | Goat Hill Road | 1-023 | 2.20 | Fair | 31.6 | LCB | \$880,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$269,212 |
| Centre Line Road | Malone Road | Bronson Road | 1-032 | 0.90 | Fair | 30.9 | LCB | \$360,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$84,371 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | Iron Bridge Road | 0.7 km south of Iron Bri | 1-159 | 0.70 | Fair | 29.4 | Gravel | \$210,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$138,545 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | 0.7 km south of Iron Bri | Clemenger Road | 1-160 | 0.75 | Fair | 28.9 | Gravel | \$225,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$148,441 |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | Buttermilk Lane | Crofts Road | 1-157 | 0.85 | Fair | 28.3 | Gravel | \$255,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$168,234 |

Appendix A
Municipality of Marmora and Lake
Capital Asset Inventory and Replacement Schedule

| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost $(2013)$ | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twin Sister Lakes Road | Crofts Road | Iron Bridge Road | 1-158 | 1.10 | Fair | 27.7 | Gravel | \$330,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$217,714 |
| Fidlar Glen Road | 0.1 km South of Beaver | Fidlar Court | 1-066 | 1.40 | Fair | 27.1 | Gravel | \$420,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$277,091 |
| Centre Line Road | Bronson Road | Deloro Road | 1-033 | 2.40 | Fair | 26.3 | LCB | \$960,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$224,990 |
| Centre Line Road | Goat Hill Road | Bell Road (South) | 1-024 | 1.40 | Fair | 25.8 | LCB | \$560,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$157,204 |
| Centre Line Road | Bell Road (South) | Bell Road (North) | 1-025 | 0.30 | Fair | 25.8 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$33,687 |
| Centre Line Road | Bell Road (North) | Milk Run Road | 1-026 | 0.06 | Fair | 25.8 | LCB | \$24,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$6,737 |
| Centre Line Road | Milk Run Road | Beaver Creek Road | 1-027 | 1.80 | Fair | 25.8 | LCB | \$720,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$202,120 |
| Forsyth Street | Linn Street | Highway 7 | 2-015 | 0.43 | Fair | 25.1 | HCB | \$573,750 | \$0 | 2027 | \$204,684 |
| Forsyth Street | Nash Street | Linn Street | 2-015 | 0.23 | Fair | 25.1 | HCB | \$303,750 | \$0 | 2027 | \$108,362 |
| Centre Line Road | Nelson Road | Malone Road | 1-031 | 0.65 | Fair | 23.5 | LCB | \$260,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$60,935 |
| Centre Line Road | Beaver Creek Road | Shannick Road | 1-028 | 1.75 | Fair | 23.3 | LCB | \$700,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$164,055 |
| River Garden Road | 0.1 km East of Cordova | Dead End | 1-121 | 1.10 | Fair | 23.3 | Gravel | \$330,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$217,714 |
| Roscoe Street | Highway 7 | Old Norwood Road | 1-129 | 0.20 | Fair | 22.9 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$73,891 |
| Centre Line Road | Shannick Road | O'Heir Road | 1-029 | 1.70 | Fair | 22.8 | LCB | \$680,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$159,368 |
| Centre Line Road | O'Heir Road | Nelson Road | 1-030 | 1.80 | Fair | 22.8 | LCB | \$720,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$182,653 |
| Riverview Crescent | Cordova Road (South) | Drummond Road | 1-122 | 0.70 | Fair | 22.2 | LCB | \$280,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$258,618 |
| Riverview Crescent | Drummond Road | Cordova Road (North) | 1-123 | 0.60 | Fair | 22.2 | LCB | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$221,672 |
| River Garden Road | Cordova Road | 0.1 km East of Cordova | 1-120 | 0.10 | Fair | 21.7 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$36,945 |
| Vansickle Road | FR 57 | FR 59 | 5-005 | 1.00 | Fair | 21.6 | LCB | \$400,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$93,746 |
| Station Road | Madoc Street | Goat Hill Road | 1-137 | 0.90 | Fair | 20.3 | LCB | \$360,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$332,509 |
| Station Road | Goat Hill Road | Bailey Road | 1-138 | 0.60 | Fair | 20.3 | LCB | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$221,672 |
| Deloro Road | Highway 7 | Station Road | 1-054 | 1.90 | Fair | 19.7 | LCB | \$760,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$701,963 |
| KOA Campground Roa | Highway 7 | Old Marmora Road | 1-082 | 0.60 | Fair | 19.4 | LCB | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$221,672 |
| Centre Line Road | Cordova Road | Glen Ridge Road | 1-022 | 0.06 | Fair | 19.1 | LCB | \$24,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$22,167 |
| Crawford Drive | Madoc Street | Victoria Street | 2-013 | 0.40 | Fair | 18.8 | LCB | \$160,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$147,782 |
| North Steenburg Lake | Old Hastings Road | Dickey Lake Road | 5-012 | 2.40 | Fair | 18.6 | LCB | \$960,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$886,690 |
| North Marmora Road | Cordova Road | 0.1 km North of Road o | 1-110 | 1.10 | Fair | 18.5 | LCB | \$440,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$406,399 |
| Cordova Road | Quinlan Road | Clemenger Road | 1-047 | 0.75 | Fair | 18.3 | LCB | \$300,000 | \$0 | 2017 | \$209,086 |
| Deloro Road | Station Road | Deloro Village South Li | 1-055 | 0.70 | Fair | 18.0 | LCB | \$280,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$258,618 |
| Deloro Road | Deloro Village North Li | Deloro Dam Road | 1-056 | 0.10 | Fair | 17.1 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$36,945 |
| Deloro Road | Centre Line Road | Madoc Township Boun | 1-062 | 1.80 | Fair | 17.1 | LCB | \$720,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$665,017 |
| KOA Campground Roa | Old Marmora Road | 0.3 km South of Old Ma | 1-083 | 0.30 | Fair | 16.6 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$110,836 |
| Fidlar Glen Road | Beaver Creek Road | 0.1 km South of Beaver | 1-065 | 0.10 | Fair | 16.4 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$48,161 |
| Rockhaven Road | Marble Point Road | Rock Haven Crescent ( | 1-126 | 0.30 | Fair | 16.3 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$59,377 |
| Rockhaven Road | Rockhaven Crescent (E | Rockhaven Crescent ( | 1-127 | 0.30 | Fair | 16.3 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$59,377 |
| Rockhaven Road | Rockhaven Crescent ( | Dead End | 1-128 | 0.10 | Fair | 16.3 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$19,792 |
| North Marmora Road | 0.1 km North of Road o | Clemenger Road | 1-111 | 2.20 | Fair | 16.0 | Gravel | \$660,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$435,428 |
| North Hastings Avenue | Highway 7 | Madoc Street | 2-054 | 0.18 | Fair | 15.8 | LCB | \$70,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$64,654 |
| Shanick Road | Centre Line Road | Beaver Creek Bridge | 1-133 | 4.90 | Fair | 15.7 | Gravel | \$1,470,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$969,817 |
| Armbro Road | Highway 7 | Mary Street | 1-001 | 0.80 | Fair | 13.2 | LCB | \$320,000 | \$0 | 2027 | \$295,563 |
| Madoc Street | Rose Avenue | North Maloney Street | 2-031 | 0.15 | Fair | 9.7 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2027 | \$72,241 |
| McGill Street | 25 m North of Madoc S | William Street | 2-039 | 0.25 | Fair | 8.4 | HCB | \$337,500 | \$0 | 2027 | \$120,402 |
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| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deloro Dam Road | 0.15 km East of Deloro | Dead End | 1-053 | 0.65 | Good | 44.0 | Gravel | \$195,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$173,145 |
| Quinlan Road | Cordova Road | Dead End | 1-118 | 0.33 | Good | 36.7 | Gravel | \$97,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$86,572 |
| Marble Point Road | Sauna Road | Dead End | 1-098 | 0.68 | Good | 36.0 | Gravel | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$179,804 |
| Crowe Court | South Road | Dead End | 5-011 | 0.65 | Good | 35.4 | LCB | \$260,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$323,204 |
| Jasper Crescent | Jennison Road | Jennison Road | 6-004 | 0.45 | Good | 33.4 | LCB | \$180,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$223,756 |
| Iron Bridge Road | Twin Sister Lakes Road | Unmaintained Section | 1-080 | 1.00 | Good | 31.9 | Gravel | \$300,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$266,377 |
| Tangamong Road | Havelock Belmont Met | Dead End | 5-009 | 2.20 | Good | 31.9 | Gravel | \$660,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$586,029 |
| Eady Lane | Highway 7 | Booster Park Road | 1-063 | 0.30 | Good | 31.4 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Milk Run Road | Centre Line Road | 0.2 km South of Centre | 1-106 | 0.20 | Good | 29.7 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Buttermilk Lane | Twin Sister Lakes Road | Young Lane | 1-018 | 1.20 | Good | 28.8 | Gravel | \$360,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$319,652 |
| Clemenger Road | Twin Sister Lakes Road | Ledge Road | 1-034 | 0.90 | Good | 28.0 | Gravel | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$196,670 |
| Mary Street | Marmora Town Limit | Marmora Mine Road | 1-099 | 1.35 | Good | 28.0 | Gravel | \$405,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$359,609 |
| Goat Hill Road | Centre Line Road | Station Road | 1-075 | 1.30 | Good | 27.7 | LCB | \$520,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$646,407 |
| Marble Point Road | Rockhaven Road | Bontervale Road | 1-092 | 0.33 | Good | 27.3 | LCB | \$130,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$132,570 |
| The Ridge Road | Wollaston Township B | Dead End | 5-008 | 1.60 | Good | 26.5 | Gravel | \$480,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$426,203 |
| Buttermilk Lane | Young Lane | Dead End | 1-019 | 0.80 | Good | 26.3 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$213,101 |
| Tipperary Road | Marble Point Road | Tipperary Court | 1-150 | 0.23 | Good | 26.3 | Gravel | \$67,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$59,935 |
| Marble Point Road | Paradise Road | McKinnon Lane | 1-094 | 0.53 | Good | 26.2 | LCB | \$210,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$153,241 |
| Gulf Road | Beaver Creek Road | Unmaintained Section | 1-077 | 1.50 | Good | 25.9 | Gravel | \$450,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$399,565 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Jones Road | Dead End | 1-007 | 1.00 | Good | 25.8 | Gravel | \$300,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$266,377 |
| Malone Quarry Road | Deloro Road | Dead End | 1-088 | 0.30 | Good | 25.5 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Clemenger Road | Ledge Road | Cordova Road | 1-035 | 2.90 | Good | 25.0 | Gravel | \$870,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$633,713 |
| High Shore Road | Highway 7 | Booster Park Road | 1-078 | 1.90 | Good | 24.9 | LCB | \$760,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$775,024 |
| Road of Memories | North Marmora Road | Dead End | 1-124 | 1.50 | Good | 24.8 | Gravel | \$450,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$399,565 |
| Old Hastings Road | Thompson Lane | Madoc Township Boun | 5-010 | 2.60 | Good | 24.7 | Gravel | \$780,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$692,579 |
| Shanick Road | Beaver Creek Bridge | Dead End | 1-134 | 3.10 | Good | 24.7 | Gravel | \$930,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$825,768 |
| Quinn Road | Beaver Creek Road | Unmaintained Section | 1-119 | 1.80 | Good | 24.4 | Gravel | \$540,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$479,478 |
| Vansickle Road | 3.4 km North of FR 59 | Dead End | 5-007 | 0.40 | Good | 24.4 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Old Hastings Road | North Steenburg Lake | Thompson Lane | 5-010 | 3.00 | Good | 24.3 | Gravel | \$900,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$799,130 |
| Savage Crescent | Old Norwood Road | Dead End | 2-051 | 0.10 | Good | 24.1 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$49,724 |
| Forest Park Road | Birch Court | Juniper Court | 3-008 | 0.43 | Good | 24.0 | LCB | \$170,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$211,326 |
| Forest Park Road | Juniper Court | River Heights Road | 3-009 | 0.25 | Good | 24.0 | LCB | \$100,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$124,309 |
| Vansickle Road | 1.7 km North of FR 59 | 3.4 km North of FR 59 | 5-006 | 1.70 | Good | 23.7 | Gravel | \$510,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$452,840 |
| Bontervale Road | Wells Lane | Dead End | 1-010 | 0.35 | Good | 23.5 | Gravel | \$105,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$93,232 |
| Fidlar Court | Fidlar Glen Road | Dead End | 1-064 | 0.30 | Good | 23.2 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| McCleary Road | Highway 7 | 1.0km South of Highwa | 1-100 | 1.00 | Good | 23.1 | LCB | \$400,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$497,237 |
| Mikolla Road | 0.3 km South of Mikolla | Sauna Road | 1-105 | 0.15 | Good | 23.0 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Forest Park Road | Tall Pine Court | Birch Court | 3-007 | 0.25 | Good | 22.9 | LCB | \$100,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$124,309 |
| Rockhaven Crescent | Rockhaven Road | Rockhaven Road | 1-125 | 0.90 | Good | 22.8 | Gravel | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$239,739 |
| Cordova Road | Beaver Creek Road | North Marmora Road | 1-045 | 0.40 | Good | 22.7 | LCB | \$160,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$123,119 |
| Nelson Road | Centre Line Road | Unmaintained Section | 1-109 | 0.50 | Good | 22.7 | Gravel | \$150,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$133,188 |
| Paradise Road | Marble Point Road | Dead End | 1-116 | 0.45 | Good | 22.7 | Gravel | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$119,870 |
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| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority <br> Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vansickle Road | FR 59 | 1.7 km North of FR 59 | 5-006 | 1.70 | Good | 22.6 | Gravel | \$510,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$452,840 |
| Bronson Road | Centre Line Road | Private Road | 1-017 | 2.50 | Good | 22.4 | Gravel | \$750,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$665,942 |
| Mikolla Road | Marble Point Road | Mikolla Court | 1-103 | 0.28 | Good | 22.4 | Gravel | \$82,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$73,254 |
| Mikolla Road | Mikolla Court | 0.3 km South of Mikolla | 1-104 | 0.30 | Good | 22.4 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Croweview Court | River Heights Road | Dead End | 3-004 | 0.45 | Good | 22.3 | Gravel | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$119,870 |
| Wells Lane | Bontervale Road | Dead End | 1-161 | 0.35 | Good | 22.1 | Gravel | \$105,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$93,232 |
| Birch Court | Forest Park Road | Dead End | 3-002 | 0.30 | Good | 21.8 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Cedar Court | River Heights Road | Dead End | 3-003 | 0.20 | Good | 21.8 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Marble Point Road | Bontervale Road | Paradise Road | 1-093 | 0.30 | Good | 21.6 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$87,566 |
| Marble Point Road | McKinnon Lane | Tipperary Road | 1-095 | 0.20 | Good | 21.6 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$58,378 |
| O'Heir Road | Centre Line Road | Dead End | 1-112 | 0.40 | Good | 21.6 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Deloro Dam Road | Deloro Road | 0.15 km East of Deloro | 1-052 | 0.15 | Good | 21.5 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Crofts Road | Twin Sister Lakes Road | Ledge Road | 1-050 | 1.50 | Good | 21.3 | Gravel | \$450,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$399,565 |
| Skene Road | Launch Road | Jade Court | 6-013 | 1.70 | Good | 21.3 | LCB | \$680,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$693,442 |
| Tipperary Road | Tipperary Court | Dead End | 1-151 | 0.15 | Good | 21.3 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Tipperary Road | Tipperary Road | Dead End | 1-152 | 0.10 | Good | 21.3 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$26,638 |
| Callaghan Rapids Road | Havelock Belmont Met | Tiffen Road | 1-020 | 1.40 | Good | 21.2 | Gravel | \$420,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$372,927 |
| Red Oak Road | Pineview Court | Dead End | 3-021 | 0.25 | Good | 21.2 | Gravel | \$75,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$66,594 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Pine Tree Place | Red Oak Court | 7-009 | 0.15 | Good | 21.2 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Red Oak Court | Island View Drive | 7-010 | 0.03 | Good | 21.2 | Gravel | \$7,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$6,659 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Island View Drive | Hemlock Court | 7-011 | 0.15 | Good | 21.2 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Ledge Road | Crofts Road | Clemenger Road | 1-087 | 1.90 | Good | 21.1 | Gravel | \$570,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$506,116 |
| Murphy Road | Station Road | Private Road | 1-108 | 0.20 | Good | 21.1 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Waite Court | Jade Court | Dead End | 6-016 | 0.15 | Good | 21.1 | Gravel | \$45,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$39,957 |
| Lake of Islands Road | Dickey Lake Road | Peninsula Road | 6-007 | 0.60 | Good | 21.0 | Gravel | \$180,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$159,826 |
| Bell Road | Centre Line Road | Centre Line Road | 1-008 | 0.40 | Good | 20.7 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Red Oak Road | Forest Park Road | Pineview Court | 3-020 | 0.20 | Good | 20.7 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Lajoie Road | Station Road | Dead End | 1-085 | 2.10 | Good | 20.6 | Gravel | \$630,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$993,392 |
| Jade Court | Skene Road | Waite Court | 6-002 | 0.35 | Good | 20.6 | LCB | \$140,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$174,033 |
| Lake of Islands Road | Peninsula Road | Dead End | 6-008 | 1.50 | Good | 20.5 | Gravel | \$450,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$399,565 |
| O'Brien Street | 0.38 km North of Delor | 0.5km North of Deloro | 4-003 | 0.13 | Good | 20.5 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2042 | \$81,023 |
| South Maloney Street | Highway 7 | Dead End | 2-062 | 0.60 | Good | 20.4 | LCB | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$244,744 |
| Jennison Road | Dickey Lake Road | Jasper Crescent | 6-005 | 0.70 | Good | 20.3 | LCB | \$280,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$285,535 |
| Bailey Road | Station Road | Dead End | 1-002 | 0.30 | Good | 20.2 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Mill Road | Main Street | Water Street | 2-042 | 0.10 | Good | 20.2 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$49,724 |
| Sauna Road | Marble Point Road | Mikolla Road | 1-131 | 0.40 | Good | 20.1 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Sauna Road | Mikolla Road | Dead End | 1-132 | 0.30 | Good | 20.1 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Skene Road | Jade Court | Lake of Islands Road | 6-014 | 1.20 | Good | 19.8 | LCB | \$480,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$489,489 |
| Juniper Court | Forest Park Road | Dead End | 3-010 | 0.20 | Good | 19.6 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Pineview Court | Red Oak Road | Dead End | 3-016 | 0.30 | Good | 19.6 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Tall Pine Court | Forest Park Road | Dead End | 3-028 | 0.30 | Good | 19.6 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Jennison Road | Jasper Crescent | Dead End | 6-006 | 2.80 | Good | 19.5 | LCB | \$1,120,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$1,142,140 |
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| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface <br> Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thanet Lake Road | 0.7 km South of North S | Pine Tree Place | 7-008 | 0.55 | Good | 19.4 | Gravel | \$165,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$146,507 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Hemlock Court | Clear Lake Court | 7-012 | 0.03 | Good | 19.4 | Gravel | \$7,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$6,659 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Clear Lake Court | Fish Hook Lane | 7-013 | 0.13 | Good | 19.4 | Gravel | \$37,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$33,297 |
| Thanet Lake Road | Fish Hook Lane | Dead End | 7-014 | 0.48 | Good | 19.4 | Gravel | \$142,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$126,529 |
| Marble Point Road | Highway 7 | Industry Lane | 1-090 | 0.20 | Good | 19.2 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$106,347 |
| Marble Point Road | Industry Lane | Rockhaven Road | 1-091 | 0.18 | Good | 19.2 | LCB | \$70,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$71,384 |
| Cordova Road | Clemenger Road | 1.7 km North of Clemen | 1-048 | 1.70 | Good | 19.1 | LCB | \$680,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$523,255 |
| Crofts Road | Ledge Road | Vansicle Road | 1-051 | 2.80 | Good | 19.1 | Gravel | \$840,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$745,855 |
| Old Norwood Road | Roscoe Road | Dead End | 1-115 | 0.45 | Good | 19.1 | LCB | \$180,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$223,756 |
| Twin Court | River Heights Road | Dead End | 3-029 | 0.20 | Good | 19.1 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Callaghan Rapids Road | Tiffen Road | Stirling-Rawdon Bound | 1-021 | 0.90 | Good | 18.7 | Gravel | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$239,739 |
| Island View Road | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-004 | 0.30 | Good | 18.7 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Maple Road | Red Oak Road | Arrowhead Road | 3-011 | 0.50 | Good | 18.5 | Gravel | \$150,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$133,188 |
| Maple Road | Arrowhead Road | Dead End | 3-012 | 0.40 | Good | 18.5 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Marble Court | Red Oak Road | Dead End | 3-013 | 0.23 | Good | 18.5 | Gravel | \$67,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$59,935 |
| North River Court | River Heights Road | Dead End | 3-014 | 0.20 | Good | 18.5 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Osborne Court | River Heights Road | Dead End | 3-015 | 0.45 | Good | 18.5 | Gravel | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$119,870 |
| Peninsula Road | Lake of Island Road | Marini Point Court | 6-010 | 0.10 | Good | 18.3 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$26,638 |
| Vansickle Road | FR 54 | FR 57 | 5-004 | 1.10 | Good | 18.2 | LCB | \$440,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$113,853 |
| Nash Street | Cameron Street | Forsyth Street | 2-043 | 0.13 | Good | 18.1 | Gravel | \$37,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$33,297 |
| Water Street | Mill Road | Madoc Street | 2-060 | 0.28 | Good | 18.1 | Gravel | \$82,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$73,254 |
| Pearce Road | Madoc Street | William Street | 2-057 | 0.25 | Good | 18.0 | LCB | \$75,000 |  |  | \$65,921 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Centre Line Road | Jones Road | 1-006 | 0.80 | Good | 18.0 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$213,101 |
| McCleary Road | 1.0km South of Highwa | Dead End | 1-101 | 1.10 | Good | 18.0 | Gravel | \$330,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$293,014 |
| Mikolla Court | Mikolla Road | Dead End | 1-102 | 0.40 | Good | 18.0 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Clear Lake Court | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-001 | 0.20 | Good | 17.9 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Hayes Street | Madoc Street | William Street | 2-016 | 0.28 | Good | 17.9 | LCB | \$110,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$136,740 |
| Pine Tree Place | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-005 | 0.05 | Good | 17.8 | Gravel | \$15,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$13,319 |
| Red Oak Court | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-006 | 0.20 | Good | 17.8 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| Red Oak Place | Red Oak Court | Dead End | 7-007 | 0.05 | Good | 17.8 | Gravel | \$15,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$13,319 |
| Marini Point Court | Peninsula Road | Dead End | 6-009 | 0.10 | Good | 17.7 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$26,638 |
| Arrowhead Road | Maple Road | River Heights Road | 3-001 | 0.40 | Good | 17.6 | Gravel | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$106,551 |
| Terry Court | Cordova Road | Dead End | 1-147 | 0.20 | Good | 17.6 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Hemlock Court | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-003 | 0.10 | Good | 17.4 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$26,638 |
| Stirling-Marmora Road | 0.1 km South of Nash St | 0.3 km South of Nash St | 1-141 | 0.20 | Good | 17.4 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$106,347 |
| Stirling-Marmora Road | Forest Park Road | Stirling-Rawdon Bound | 1-145 | 1.25 | Good | 17.4 | HCB | \$1,687,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$664,670 |
| Cameron Street | Nash Street | Dead End | 2-012 | 0.25 | Good | 17.3 | Gravel | \$75,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$66,594 |
| Madoc Street | 25m East of North Mal | Station Road | 2-032 | 0.10 | Good | 17.3 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$40,791 |
| Thomas Street | McGill Street | Dead End | 2-055 | 0.20 | Good | 17.3 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Fish Hook Lane | Thanet Lake Road | Dead End | 7-002 | 0.60 | Good | 17.2 | Gravel | \$180,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$159,826 |
| Roscoe Street | Old Norwood Road | Dead End | 1-130 | 0.15 | Good | 17.1 | LCB | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$74,585 |
| Cameron Street | Highway 7 | Linn Street | 2-009 | 0.40 | Good | 17.0 | LCB | \$160,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$163,163 |
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| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cameron Street | Linn Street | 0.1 km South of Linn Str | 2-010 | 0.10 | Good | 17.0 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$40,791 |
| Hayes Street | William Street | Dead End | 2-017 | 0.15 | Good | 17.0 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$97,227 |
| Cordova Road | McGill Street | 0.4km North of McGill | 1-037 | 0.40 | Good | 16.9 | HCB | \$540,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$212,694 |
| Jones Road | Milk Run Road | Beaver Creek Road | 1-081 | 2.00 | Good | 16.9 | Gravel | \$600,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$532,753 |
| North Maloney Road | Highway 7 | Madoc Street | 2-056 | 0.15 | Good | 16.9 | LCB | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$61,186 |
| Skene Road | Lake of Island Road | Dead End | 6-015 | 0.30 | Good | 16.9 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$122,372 |
| Boyd Road | First Road | Tiffen Road | 1-015 | 1.50 | Good | 16.7 | Gravel | \$450,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$399,565 |
| Boyd Road | Tiffen Road | Dead End | 1-016 | 0.30 | Good | 16.7 | Gravel | \$90,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$79,913 |
| Main Street | Mill Road | McGill Street | 2-035 | 0.25 | Good | 16.7 | HCB | \$337,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$132,934 |
| Nash Street | Forsyth Street | Bursthall Street | 2-044 | 0.13 | Good | 16.7 | LCB | \$50,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$62,155 |
| Cordova Road | 0.4 km North of McGill | Centre Line Road | 1-038 | 0.20 | Good | 16.5 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$61,559 |
| Cordova Road | Centre Line Road | Riverview Crescent (So | 1-039 | 0.80 | Good | 16.5 | HCB | \$1,080,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$246,238 |
| Cordova Road | Riverview Crescent (So | Silver Maple Lane | 1-040 | 0.50 | Good | 16.5 | HCB | \$675,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$153,899 |
| Cordova Road | Silver Maple Lane | River Garden Road | 1-041 | 0.15 | Good | 16.5 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2022 | \$46,170 |
| Cordova Road | Riverview Crescent (No | Glen Allen Road | 1-043 | 1.50 | Good | 16.5 | HCB | \$2,025,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$461,696 |
| Cameron Street | 0.1 km South of Linn Str | Nash Street | 2-011 | 0.10 | Good | 16.3 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$21,852 |
| Red Oak Road | River Heights Road | Maple Road | 3-017 | 0.20 | Good | 16.3 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Red Oak Road | Maple Road | Marble Court | 3-018 | 0.15 | Good | 16.3 | LCB | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$74,585 |
| Red Oak Road | Marble Court | Forest Park Road | 3-019 | 0.20 | Good | 16.3 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Dickey Lake Road | North Steenburg Lake | Skene Road | 6-001 | 0.90 | Good | 16.1 | LCB | \$360,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$367,116 |
| Skene Road | Dickey Lake Road | Launch Road | 6-012 | 0.25 | Good | 16.1 | LCB | \$100,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$101,977 |
| Matthew Street | 0.375 km West of South Ma | Victoria Street | 2-041 | 0.15 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$116,195 |
| Matthew Street | Victoria Street | North Hastings Avenue | 2-042 | 0.15 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$116,195 |
| Matthew Street | North Hastings Avenue | Bursthall Street | 2-043 | 0.13 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$175,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$100,703 |
| Matthew Street | Bursthall Street | Forsyth Street | 2-044 | 0.13 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$175,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$100,703 |
| Matthew Street | Forsyth Street | Cameron Street | 2-045 | 0.13 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$175,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$100,703 |
| Matthew Street | Cameron Street | 0.1 km West of Cameron St | 2-046 | 0.10 | Good | 15.9 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$77,464 |
| Malone Road | Deloro Road | Centre Line Road | 1-089 | 3.10 | Good | 15.9 | Gravel | \$930,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$825,768 |
| Jade Court | Waite Court | Dead End | 6-003 | 0.25 | Good | 15.7 | LCB | \$100,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$124,309 |
| McGill Street | William Street | Thomas Street | 2-040 | 0.10 | Good | 15.6 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$64,818 |
| Bursthall Street (South | Linn Street | Nash Street | 2-007 | 0.22 | Good | 15.4 | LCB | \$88,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$89,740 |
| Cordova Road | Glen Allen Road | Beaver Creek Road | 1-044 | 1.50 | Good | 15.4 | LCB | \$600,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$461,696 |
| Cordova Road | North Marmora Road | Quinlan Road | 1-046 | 2.90 | Good | 15.4 | LCB | \$1,160,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$892,612 |
| Booster Park Road | Lakeshore Road | Dead End | 1-014 | 0.10 | Good | 15.2 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$49,724 |
| Linn Street | Cameron Street | Forsyth Street | 2-021 | 0.13 | Good | 15.2 | LCB | \$50,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$62,155 |
| Main Street | Reginald Street | Mill Road | 2-034 | 0.23 | Good | 15.2 | HCB | \$303,750 | \$0 | 2022 | \$150,099 |
| North Hastings Avenue | Madoc Street | William Street | 2-055 | 0.28 | Good | 15.2 | LCB | \$110,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$112,174 |
| Old Norwood Road | Cul-du-sac | Roscoe Street | 1-114 | 0.45 | Good | 15.2 | LCB | \$180,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$223,756 |
| Bursthall Street (South | Nash Street | Dead End | 2-008 | 0.08 | Good | 15.0 | LCB | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$37,293 |
| McGill Street | Thomas Street | Main Street | 2-041 | 0.18 | Good | 15.0 | HCB | \$236,250 | \$0 | 2042 | \$113,432 |
| KOA Campground Roa | 0.3km South of Old Ma | Private Road | 1-084 | 1.30 | Good | 14.4 | LCB | \$520,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$646,407 |
| Forest Park Road | Stirling-Marmora Road | Red Oak Road | 3-005 | 0.25 | Good | 14.2 | LCB | \$100,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$124,309 |

Appendix A
Municipality of Marmora and Lake
Capital Asset Inventory and Replacement Schedule

| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority Rating | Surface Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Park Road | Red Oak Road | Tall Pine Court | 3-006 | 0.35 | Good | 14.2 | LCB | \$140,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$174,033 |
| Bursthall Street (South | Mary Street | 0.1 km South of Mary S | 2-005 | 0.10 | Good | 14.0 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$40,791 |
| Bursthall Street (South | 0.1 km South of Mary S | Linn Street | 2-006 | 0.15 | Good | 14.0 | LCB | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$61,186 |
| Madoc Street | McGill Street | Hayes Street | 2-025 | 0.13 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$66,467 |
| Madoc Street | Hayes Street | North Hastings Avenue | 2-026 | 0.13 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$66,467 |
| Madoc Street | North Hastings Avenue | Pearce Street | 2-027 | 0.10 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$53,174 |
| Madoc Street | Pearce Street | Victoria Street | 2-028 | 0.10 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$53,174 |
| Madoc Street | Victoria Street | Crawford Drive | 2-029 | 0.30 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$405,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$159,521 |
| Madoc Street | Crawford Drive | Rose Avenue | 2-030 | 0.13 | Good | 14.0 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$66,467 |
| Iroquois Avenue | Tecumseh Road | Algonquin Street | 2-019 | 0.20 | Good | 13.9 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Madoc Street | Main Street | McGill Street | 2-024 | 0.08 | Good | 13.9 | HCB | \$101,250 | \$0 | 2032 | \$39,880 |
| Main Street | Madoc Street | Reginald Street | 2-033 | 0.08 | Good | 13.9 | HCB | \$101,250 | \$0 | 2032 | \$39,880 |
| Stirling-Marmora Road | 0.3 km South of Nash St | 0.7 km South of Nash St | 1-142 | 0.40 | Good | 13.9 | HCB | \$540,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$212,694 |
| Stirling-Marmora Road | 0.7 km South of Nash St | River Heights Road | 1-143 | 1.00 | Good | 13.9 | HCB | \$1,350,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$531,736 |
| Stirling-Marmora Road | River Heights Road | Forest Park Road | 1-144 | 0.35 | Good | 13.9 | HCB | \$472,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$186,108 |
| Bursthall Street (North | Highway 7 | Madoc Street | 2-003 | 0.20 | Good | 13.6 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$81,581 |
| Vansickle Road | 1.0 km North of Cordov | Crofts Road | 5-002 | 1.90 | Good | 13.6 | LCB | \$760,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$196,655 |
| Matthew Street | 0.1 km East of North Malon | North Maloney Street | 2-038 | 0.10 | Good | 13.5 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$77,464 |
| Matthew Street | North Maloney Street | South Maloney Street | 2-039 | 0.08 | Good | 13.5 | HCB | \$108,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$61,971 |
| Matthew Street | South Maloney Street | 0.375 km West of South Ma | 2-040 | 0.38 | Good | 13.5 | HCB | \$513,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$294,361 |
| Rose Avenue | Madoc Street | Dead End | 2-050 | 0.10 | Good | 13.5 | LCB | \$40,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$49,724 |
| Cordova Road | River Garden Road | Riverview Crescent (No | 1-042 | 0.40 | Good | 13.4 | HCB | \$540,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$212,694 |
| Bursthall Street (South | Highway 7 | Mary Street | 2-004 | 0.20 | Good | 13.3 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$81,581 |
| Linn Street | Forsyth Street | Bursthall Street | 2-022 | 0.13 | Good | 12.9 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2042 | \$81,023 |
| O'Brien Street | Deloro Street | 0.38 km North of Delor | 4-002 | 0.38 | Good | 12.7 | HCB | \$506,250 | \$0 | 2042 | \$243,069 |
| Tecumseh Drive | Algonquin Street | Iroquois Avenue | 2-054 | 0.15 | Good | 12.6 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$97,227 |
| Vansickle Road | Cordova Road | 1.0 km North of Cordov | 5-001 | 1.00 | Good | 12.5 | LCB | \$400,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$103,503 |
| Vansickle Road | Crofts Road | FR 54 | 5-003 | 2.20 | Good | 12.5 | LCB | \$880,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$227,706 |
| Glen Allen Road | Cordova Road | Maloney Road | 1-070 | 0.40 | Good | 12.4 | LCB | \$160,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$198,895 |
| Glen Allen Road | Maloney Road | 1.0 km West of Malone | 1-071 | 1.00 | Good | 12.4 | LCB | \$400,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$497,237 |
| Glen Allen Road | 1.0km West of Malone | Glen Allen Park Lane | 1-072 | 0.30 | Good | 12.4 | LCB | \$120,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$149,171 |
| Terrace Road | Highway 7 | Dead End | 1-146 | 0.80 | Good | 12.4 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$213,101 |
| Goat Hill Road | Station Road | Highway 7 | 1-076 | 0.80 | Good | 12.3 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$213,101 |
| Mary Street | South Hastings Avenue | Marmora Town Limit | 2-037 | 0.20 | Good | 12.2 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| William Street | McGill Street | Hayes Street | 2-061 | 0.13 | Good | 12.2 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$66,467 |
| William Street | Hayes Street | North Hastings Avenue | 2-062 | 0.13 | Good | 12.2 | HCB | \$168,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$66,467 |
| William Street | North Hastings Avenue | Pearce Street | 2-063 | 0.10 | Good | 12.2 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$53,174 |
| William Street | Pearce Street | Victoria Street | 2-064 | 0.15 | Good | 12.2 | HCB | \$202,500 | \$0 | 2032 | \$79,760 |
| Algonquin Street | Highway 7 | Iroquois Avenue | 2-001 | 0.20 | Good | 12.0 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Tiffen Road | Highway 7 | Boyd Road | 1-148 | 0.10 | Good | 12.0 | Gravel | \$30,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$21,852 |
| Tiffen Road | Boyd Road | Callaghan Rapids Road | 1-149 | 2.90 | Good | 12.0 | Gravel | \$870,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$633,713 |
| James Street | Old Norwood Road | Private Road | 2-020 | 1.30 | Good | 11.7 | Gravel | \$390,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$346,290 |

Appendix A
Municipality of Marmora and Lake
Capital Asset Inventory and Replacement Schedule

| Name | From | To | Section <br> Number | Length | Condition <br> Assessment | Priority <br> Rating | Surface <br> Type | Estimated Replacement Cost (2013) | Overdue | Timing of First Recommended Road Work** | Cost of Road Work in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Road | Highway 7 | Boyd Road | 1-068 | 0.20 | Good | 11.5 | Gravel | \$60,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$53,275 |
| First Road | Boyd Road | Dead End | 1-069 | 0.80 | Good | 11.5 | Gravel | \$240,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$213,101 |
| Hughes Lane | Highway 7 | Dead End | 2-018 | 0.35 | Good | 10.9 | HCB | \$472,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$226,864 |
| Reginald Street | Main Street | Dead End | 2-049 | 0.05 | Good | 10.9 | LCB | \$20,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$24,862 |
| Victoria Street | Madoc Street | Crawford Drive | 2-057 | 0.08 | Good | 10.7 | HCB | \$101,250 | \$0 | 2032 | \$39,880 |
| Victoria Street | Crawford Drive | William Street | 2-058 | 0.20 | Good | 10.7 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$106,347 |
| Mary Street | Bursthall Street | South Hastings Avenue | 2-036 | 0.10 | Good | 10.5 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$64,818 |
| Madoc Street | Water Street | Main Street | 2-023 | 0.10 | Good | 10.1 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2022 | \$33,428 |
| McGill Street | Highway 7 | 25 m North of Madoc S | 2-038 | 0.20 | Good | 10.1 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2032 | \$106,347 |
| River Heights Road | Stirling-Marmora Road | Twin Court | 3-022 | 0.28 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$110,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$136,740 |
| River Heights Road | Twin Court | North River Court | 3-023 | 0.18 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$70,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$87,016 |
| River Heights Road | North River Court | Arrowhead Road | 3-024 | 0.35 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$140,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$174,033 |
| River Heights Road | Arrowhead Road | Forest Park Road | 3-025 | 0.40 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$160,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$198,895 |
| River Heights Road | Forest Park Road | Cedar Court | 3-026 | 0.48 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$190,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$236,187 |
| River Heights Road | Cedar Court | Croweview Court | 3-027 | 0.20 | Good | 10.1 | LCB | \$80,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$99,447 |
| Algonquin Street | Iroquois Avenue | Tecumseh Drive | 2-002 | 0.10 | Good | 9.8 | HCB | \$135,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$64,818 |
| Victoria Street | William Street | Dead End | 2-059 | 0.25 | Good | 9.6 | HCB | \$337,500 | \$0 | 2042 | \$162,046 |
| Victoria Street | Highway 7 | Madoc Street | 2-056 | 0.18 | Good | 9.0 | HCB | \$236,250 | \$0 | 2042 | \$113,432 |
| O'Brien Street | 0.5 km North of Deloro | Deloro Village North Li | 4-004 | 0.50 | Good | 8.6 | LCB | \$200,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$248,618 |
| South Hastings Avenue | Highway 7 | Mary Street | 2-052 | 0.20 | Good | 6.7 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$129,637 |
| Glen Ridge Road | Centre Line Road | Dead End | 1-074 | 0.50 | Good | 6.6 | Gravel | \$150,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$133,188 |
| Madoc Street | North Maloney Street | 25 m East of North Mal | 2-032 | 0.03 | Good | 4.9 | HCB | \$33,750 | \$0 | 2032 | \$13,293 |
| Industry Lane | Marble Point Road | Dead End | 1-079 | 0.20 | Good | 4.8 | HCB | \$270,000 | \$0 | 2042 | \$129,637 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$87,812,250 | \$10,490,000 |  |  |


 lieu of complete replacement.
 schedule the road works are spread out evenly over the range.
Appendix A

** Recommended bridge work is based on BSI (Bridge Sufficiency Index)
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Appendix A
Municipality of Marmora and Lake

| Asset ID | Asset Name | Year Installed | Useful Life | Replacement Cost | Condition <br> Assessment | Overdue | Timing of Need for Recommended Work - Based on Useful Life ${ }^{(3)}$ | Replacement Cost in Future Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sewerline (Waste Water): Distribution District=Marmora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SN-5 | Forcemain ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1973 | 50 | 1,000,000 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$1,000,000 |
| SN-5 | Sanitary Sewer | 1973 | 50 | \$2,097,000 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$2,556,231 |
| SN-4 | Sanitary Sewer | 1973 | 50 | \$752,500 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$917,293 |
| SN-3 | Sanitary Sewer | 1973 | 50 | \$515,500 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$628,392 |
| SN-2 | Sanitary Sewer | 1973 | 50 | \$280,500 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$341,928 |
| SN-1 | Sanitary Sewer | 1973 | 50 | \$157,000 | Poor |  | 2023 | \$191,382 |


Treatment Facilities Treatment ${ }^{(2)}$ Stormwater
Treatment

| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |


| Catchbasins | \# of CB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Catchbasins: 1975 | 27.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1978 | 2.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1981 | 19.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1982 | 28.0 |
| Catchbasins: 1984 | 10.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2004 | 3.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2005 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2006 | 51.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2008 | 9.0 |
| Catchbasins: 2009 | 3.0 |
| TOTAL WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE |  |
| Note 1: Although the timing of work based on use |  |

Note 1: Although the timing of work based on useful is not until 2023, this project needs to be replaced immediately and has been identified as a high priority capital project
Note 2: Current to the preparation of this document, this plant is currently being replaced and therefore the condition of this asset will be changed to "good" once complete Note 3: The timinig need for work is based on the engineered deisgn life of the asset, however, the acutal condition of each asset differs. Therefore, assets may continue to meet desired service levels beyond the engineered design standard, or require repair or replacement earlier than designed
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Capital Asset Inventory and Replacement Schedule

| Building Address | Department | Building Name | Year <br> Built | Age | Useful Life | 2013 Replacement Cost | Condition | Overdue | Time of Need for Recommended Work | Building Cost in Future Dollars* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cameron Street | Parks | Ball Park/ Canteen / Beach House | 1948 | 65 | 50 | \$155,270 | POOR | Y | 2013 | \$77,635 |
| 53 Cameron Street | Parks | Train Station / Tenis Court | 1950 | 63 | 50 | \$160,000 | POOR | Y | 2013 | \$80,000 |
| 20 Deloro Street | Corporate Management | Deloro Hall/Community Centre/ Library | 1975 | 38 | 50 | \$404,625 | FAIR |  | 2025 | \$256,581 |
| 5 Matthew Street | Fire Department | Fire Hall | 1978 | 35 | 50 | \$811,178 | GOOD |  | 2028 | \$545,870 |
| 2 Crawford Drive | Parks | Fair Grounds / Curling Club | 1979 | 34 | 50 | \$2,015,301 | FAIR |  | 2029 | \$1,383,288 |
| 70 O'Brian Street | Roads Department | Deloro Depot | 1981 | 32 | 50 | \$348,475 | FAIR |  | 2031 | \$248,854 |
| 20 Matthew St | Roads Department | Village Garage/OPP | 1983 | 30 | 50 | \$ 419,728 | POOR |  | 2033 | \$311,847 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Arena/ Dr. Crawford Com. Centre | 1948 | 65 | 50 | \$5,006,053 | GOOD |  | 2040 | \$4,272,382 |
| 12 Bursthall Street | Corporate Management | Town Hall | 1913 | 100 | 50 | \$1,003,418 | GOOD |  | 2040 | \$856,360 |
| 10 Bursthall Street | Protection | Parks and Rec Building | 1945 | 68 | 50 | \$430,128 | GOOD |  | 2045 | \$405,297 |
| 6 Matthew Street | Corporate Management | Tourism Centre | 2001 | 12 | 50 | \$201,777 | GOOD |  | 2051 | \$214,115 |
| 44 Matthew Street | Cemetary | Cemetary | 2002 | 11 | 50 | \$64,300 | GOOD |  | 2052 | \$69,597 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Roads Department | Sand Dome Beaver Creek | 1989 | 24 | 50 | \$127,088 | GOOD |  | 2058 | \$154,911 |
| 1 Madoc Street | Public Health | Medical Centre | 1991 | 22 | 50 | \$1,244,200 | GOOD |  | 2058 | \$1,516,589 |
| Forsyth \& Matthew Street | Library | Library/ Memorial Building | 1928 | 85 | 50 | \$1,552,307 | GOOD |  | 2059 | \$1,929,991 |
| 70 O'Brian Street | Roads Department | Dome \#32 | 2009 | 4 | 50 | \$194,490 | GOOD |  | 2059 | \$241,810 |
| 199 Booster Park Road | Parks | Booster Park - Well House / Storage Shed | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$9,216 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$11,921 |
| 199 Booster Park Road | Parks | Booster Park | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$61,508 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$79,563 |
| 70 O'Brien Street | Roads Department | Deloro Works Garage | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$181,177 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$234,359 |
| 70 O'Brien Street | Roads Department | Deloro Vehicle Storage | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$134,394 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$173,843 |
| 22 Water Street | Water | Enviromental Services Garage | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$58,207 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$75,292 |
| 199 Booster Park Road | Parks | Booster Park Change Rooms | 2011 | 2 | 50 | \$27,754 | GOOD |  | 2061 | \$35,900 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Cencession Booth | 2001 | 12 | 50 | \$91,512 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$123,156 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Pavilion - 28 Victoria | 1992 | 21 | 50 | \$43,720 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$58,838 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Storage Building - 28 Victoria | 1980 | 33 | 50 | \$62,044 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$83,498 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Pole Barn | 1990 | 23 | 50 | \$87,333 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$117,532 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Pole Barn | 1990 | 23 | 50 | \$59,794 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$80,470 |
| 28 Victoria Street | Parks | Pole Barn | 1990 | 23 | 50 | \$56,579 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$76,144 |
| 70 O'Brian Street | Roads Department | Salt Shed -70 O'Brians Street | 1980 | 33 | 50 | \$69,545 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$93,593 |
| 2054 North Steenburg Ro | Roads Department | Sand Storage Building | 2009 | 4 | 50 | \$208,699 | GOOD |  | 2059 | \$259,477 |
| Beaver Creek Road | Roads Department | Storage Building - Beaver Creek Road | 1970 | 43 | 50 | \$164,272 | GOOD |  | 2063 | \$221,076 |
| TOTAL $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 4 5 4 , 0 8 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Given that retrofits and renovations can often extend the useful life of buildings in lieu of a complete replacement; the analysis assumes that costs to maintain buildings
would be $50 \%$ of building replacement construction costs.

## HEMSON

Appendix A

| Asset Name | Asset ID | Location Description | Year of Installation | Useful Life | Linear <br> Meters | 2013 <br> Replacement Cost | Condition | Overdue | Timing of Recommended Work | Replacement Cost in Future Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bursthall Street | 1 | From Hwy.\#7 going south on the east side of Bursthall Street to Mary Street | 1995 | 40 | 188 | \$22,348 | Good |  | 2035 | \$34,549 |
| Bursthall Street | 2 | From Mary Street going south on the east side of Bursthall Street to the dead end. | 1995 | 40 | 500 | \$59,436 | Good |  | 2035 | \$91,887 |
| Cameron Street | 5 | From the dead end travelling north on the east side of the street to Linn Street | 1995 | 40 | 81 | \$9,629 | Good |  | 2035 | \$14,886 |
| Cameron Street | 6 | From Linn Street travelling north on the east side of the street to Highway 7 | 1995 | 40 | 421 | \$50,045 | Good |  | 2035 | \$77,369 |
| Forsyth Street | 8 | From Matthew Street travelling south on the west side of the street to Linn Street | 1995 | 40 | 393 | \$46,717 | Good |  | 2035 | \$72,223 |
| Forsyth Street | 9 | From Linn Street travelling south on the west side of the street to Linn Street | 1995 | 40 | 202 | \$24,012 | Good |  | 2035 | \$37,122 |
| Roscoe Street | 11 | From Norwood Road travelling south on the east side of the street to the dead end at Roscoe Street | 1995 | 40 | 192 | \$22,823 | Good |  | 2035 | \$35,285 |
| Crowe Valley Court | 12 | From Highway 7 travelling south on the west side of the street to the dead end | 1995 | 40 | 110 | \$13,076 | Good |  | 2035 | \$20,215 |
| Norwood Road | 16 | From Roscoe Street travelling east on the north side of the street to Savage Crescent | 1995 | 40 | 404 | \$48,024 | Good |  | 2035 | \$74,245 |
| Norwood Road | 17 | From Savage Crescent travelling east on the north side of the street to the dead end | 1995 | 40 | 127 | \$15,097 | Good |  | 2035 | \$23,339 |
| Matthew Street | 18 | From the bridge at Crowe Valley Court traveling east on the side of the street to Cameron Street | 1995 | 40 | 183 | \$2,398 | Good |  | 2035 | \$3,707 |
| William Street | 42 | From Hayes Street travelling west on the north side of the street to McGill Street | 1995 | 40 | 109 | \$12,957 | Good |  | 2035 | \$20,031 |
| McGill Street | 43 | From William Street travelling south on the east side of the street to Madoc Street | 1995 | 40 | 466 | \$44,308 | Good |  | 2035 | \$68,500 |
| Nash Street | 3 | From Bursthall Street travelling west on the south side of the street to Highway \# 14 | 1997 | 40 | 108 | \$10,269 | Good |  | 2037 | \$16,517 |
| Linn Street | 4 | From Bursthall Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Hyw. 14 I | 1997 | 40 | 101 | \$9,603 | Good |  | 2037 | \$15,446 |
| Forsyth Street | 13 | From dead at of Forsyth Street travelling north on the east side of the street to Nash Street | 1997 | 40 | 123 | \$14,621 | Good |  | 2037 | \$23,517 |
| Forsyth Street | 14 | From Nash Street travelling north on the east side of the street to Linn Street | 1997 | 40 | 144 | \$17,118 | Good |  | 2037 | \$27,533 |
| Forsyth Street | 15 | From Linn Street travelling north on the east side of the street to Highway 7 | 1997 | 40 | 421 | \$50,045 | Good |  | 2037 | \$80,494 |
| McGill Street | 44 | From William Street travelling south on the east side of the street to Madoc Street | 1997 | 40 | 74 | \$8,797 | Good |  | 2037 | \$14,149 |
| Main Street | 45 | From Madoc Street travelling north on the east side of the street to McGill Street | 1997 | 40 | 553 | \$65,736 | Good |  | 2037 | \$105,733 |
| Front Street | 57 | From Madoc Street travelling north on the west side of the street to McGill Street | 1997 | 40 | 38 | \$12,286 | Good |  | 2037 | \$19,761 |
| Forsyth Street | 10 | from Nash Street travelling south on the west side of street to dead end on Forsyth Street | 1998 | 40 | 123 | \$14,621 | Good |  | 2038 | \$23,988 |
| Matthew Street | 30 | From Mac's Milk Convenience Store on the north side of the street to the dead end | 1999 | 40 | 17 | \$2,021 | Good |  | 2039 | \$3,382 |
| Hastings Street | 31 | From Highway 7 travelling south on the west side of the street to Mary Street | 1999 | 40 | 188 | \$22,348 | Good |  | 2039 | \$37,397 |
| Mary Street | 32 | From Hastings Street travelling east on the north side of the street to the dead end | 1999 | 40 | 253 | \$30,075 | Good |  | 2039 | \$50,327 |
| Mary Street | 33 | From Hastings Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Bursthall Street | 1999 | 40 | 102 | \$12,125 | Good |  | 2039 | \$20,290 |
| Pearce Street | 34 | From Madoc Street travelling north on the east side of the street to William Street | 1999 | 40 | 260 | \$30,907 | Good |  | 2039 | \$51,720 |
| Hayes Street | 35 | From William Street travelling north on the east side of the street to the dead end at Water Street | 1999 | 40 | 148 | \$17,593 | Good |  | 2039 | \$29,441 |
| Hayes Street | 36 | From William Street travelling south on the east side of the street to Madoc Street | 1999 | 40 | 267 | \$31,739 | Good |  | 2039 | \$53,112 |
| Bursthall Street | 37 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street to highway \#7 | 1999 | 40 | 175 | \$20,803 | Good |  | 2039 | \$34,811 |
| Bursthall Street | 38 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street to Madoc Street | 1999 | 40 | 96 | \$11,412 | Good |  | 2039 | \$19,097 |
| North Hastings | 39 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the east side of the street to Madoc Street | 1999 | 40 | 177 | \$21,040 | Good |  | 2039 | \$35,209 |
| North Hastings | 40 | From Madoc Street travelling north on the east side of the street to William Street | 1999 | 40 | 267 | \$31,739 | Good |  | 2039 | \$53,112 |
| William Street | 41 | From Victoria Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Hayes Street | 1999 | 40 | 351 | \$41,724 | Good |  | 2039 | \$69,822 |
| Forsyth Street | 7 | From Matthew Street travelling south on the west side of the street | 2000 | 40 | 35 | \$8,321 | Good |  | 2040 | \$14,203 |
| Matthew Street | 22 | From Bursthall Street travelling east on the south side of the street to Hastings Street | 2000 | 40 | 109 | \$15,550 | Good |  | 2040 | \$26,542 |
| Deloro Street | 61 | From O'Brien Street travelling west on the south side of the street to Deloro Community Centre | 2000 | 40 | 28 | \$3,328 | Good |  | 2040 | \$5,681 |
| O'Brien Street | 62 | From Deloro Street travelling north on the east side of the street to dead end | 2000 | 40 | 371 | \$35,275 | Good |  | 2040 | \$60,211 |
| O'Brien Street | 63 | From dead end travelling south on the west side of the street to Deloro Street | 2000 | 40 | 358 | \$34,039 | Good |  | 2040 | \$58,101 |
| Matthew Street | 19 | From Cameron Street travelling east on the south side of the street to the Library | 2004 | 40 | 83 | \$9,866 | Good |  | 2044 | \$18,229 |
| Matthew Street | 20 | From Library going east on the south side of the street to Highway 14 | 2004 | 40 | 24 | \$5,706 | Good |  | 2044 | \$10,542 |
| Matthew Street | 21 | From Forsyth Street travelling east on the south side of the street to Bursthall Street | 2004 | 40 | 108 | \$15,407 | Good |  | 2044 | \$28,467 |
| Matthew Street | 23 | From North Maloney Street travelling west on the north side of the street to the Ultramar Gas Station | 2004 | 40 | 445 | \$52,898 | Good |  | 2044 | \$97,734 |
| Matthew Street | 24 | From Ultramar Gas Station travelling west on the north side of the street to Victoria Street | 2004 | 40 | 97 | \$11,531 | Good |  | 2044 | \$21,304 |
| Matthew Street | 25 | From Victoria Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Matthew Place | 2004 | 40 | 60 | \$7,132 | Good |  | 2044 | \$13,178 |
| Matthew Street | 26 | From Matthew Place travelling west on the north side of the street to North Hastings Street | 2004 | 40 | 92 | \$17,495 | Good |  | 2044 | \$32,324 |
| Matthew Street | 27 | From North Hastings Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Bursthall Street | 2004 | 40 | 109 | \$15,550 | Good |  | 2044 | \$28,730 |
| Matthew Street | 28 | From Bursthall Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Forsyth Street | 2004 | 40 | 106 | \$20,157 | Good |  | 2044 | \$37,243 |

## Appendix A

| Asset Name | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Asset } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Location Description | Year of Installation | Useful Life | Linear <br> Meters | 2013 Replacement Cost | Condition | Overdue | Timing of Recommended Work | Replacement Cost in Future Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matthew Street | 29 | From Forsyth Street travelling west on the north side of the street to Mac's Milk Convenience Store | 2004 | 40 | 82 | \$9,748 | Good |  | 2044 | \$18,009 |
| Main Street | 46 | From McGill Street travelling north on the east side of the street to the dead end | 2008 | 40 | 259 | \$30,788 | Good |  | 2048 | \$61,572 |
| Front Street | 47 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street | 2008 | 40 | 97 | \$23,061 | Good |  | 2048 | \$46,120 |
| Front Street | 48 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street | 2008 | 40 | 12 | \$6,561 | Good |  | 2048 | \$13,122 |
| Front Street | 49 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street | 2008 | 40 | 25 | \$4,754 | Good |  | 2048 | \$9,508 |
| Front Street | 50 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street | 2008 | 40 | 38 | \$4,517 | Good |  | 2048 | \$9,034 |
| Medical Centre | 58 | From Madoc street travelling north on the west side of the street to dead end | 2008 | 40 | 27 | \$4,494 | Good |  | 2048 | \$8,988 |
| Deloro Street | 59 | From Old School 911 sign travelling east on the north side of the street to O'Brien Street | 2008 | 40 | 106 | \$10,079 | Good |  | 2048 | \$20,156 |
| Deloro Street | 60 | From O'Brien Street on the north side of the street to dead end | 2008 | 40 | 180 | \$21,399 | Good |  | 2048 | \$42,795 |
| Front Street | 51 | From Highway \#7 travelling north on the west side of the street to Madoc Street | 2010 | 40 | 12 | \$3,708 | Good |  | 2050 | \$7,716 |
| Front Street | 52 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street | 2010 | 40 | 9 | \$2,354 | Good |  | 2050 | \$4,898 |
| Front Street | 53 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street | 2010 | 40 | 29 | \$4,137 | Good |  | 2050 | \$8,608 |
| Front Street | 54 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street | 2010 | 40 | 7 | \$2,163 | Good |  | 2050 | \$4,501 |
| Front Street | 55 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street | 2010 | 40 | 63 | \$17,975 | Good |  | 2050 | \$37,401 |
| Front Street | 56 | From Madoc Street travelling south on the east side of the street to Highway \#7 | 2010 | 40 | 62 | \$19,160 | Good |  | 2050 | \$39,866 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A
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HEMSON

